

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

Commission file number 1-9447

KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State of incorporation)

94-3030279
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5847 SAN FELIPE, SUITE 2500, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77057-3268
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(713) 267-3777
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes /X/ No / /

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes / / No /X/

At October 31, 2003, the registrant had 80,048,307 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)
(In millions of dollars)

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 50.2	\$ 78.7
Receivables:		
Trade, less allowance for doubtful receivables of \$10.2 and \$11.0	117.2	103.1
Other	33.6	46.4
Inventories	227.7	254.9
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	36.4	33.5

Total current assets	465.1	516.6
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	75.9	69.7
Property, plant, and equipment - net	970.8	1,009.9
Other assets	534.6	629.2
Total	\$ 2,046.4	\$ 2,225.4
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Liabilities not subject to compromise -		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 142.1	\$ 130.6
Accrued interest	4.4	2.9
Accrued salaries, wages, and related expenses	40.6	46.7
Accrued postretirement medical benefit obligation - current portion	60.2	60.2
Other accrued liabilities	45.8	64.2
Payable to affiliates	49.2	28.1
Long-term debt - current portion	1.2	.9
Total current liabilities	343.5	333.6
Long-term liabilities	85.3	86.9
Long-term debt	42.3	42.7
	471.1	463.2
Liabilities subject to compromise	2,755.6	2,726.0
Minority interests	123.0	121.8
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Common stock	.8	.8
Additional capital	539.3	539.9
Accumulated deficit	(1,597.5)	(1,382.4)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(245.9)	(243.9)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,303.3)	(1,085.6)
Total	\$ 2,046.4	\$ 2,225.4

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME (LOSS)
(Unaudited)
(In millions of dollars, except share and per share amounts)

	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net sales	\$ 327.1	\$ 348.0	\$ 1,024.9	\$ 1,104.9
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of products sold	343.3	337.6	1,063.2	1,040.3
Depreciation and amortization	18.0	22.6	55.4	67.6
Selling, administrative, research and development, and general	25.8	27.1	77.8	97.6
Other operating charges, net	15.0	25.3	15.6	34.4
Total costs and expenses	402.1	412.6	1,212.0	1,239.9
Operating loss	(75.0)	(64.6)	(187.1)	(135.0)
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense (excluding unrecorded contractual interest expense of \$23.8 for both quarters and \$71.2 and \$60.3 for the nine-month periods, respectively)	(2.9)	(2.2)	(8.2)	(18.2)
Reorganization items	(5.4)	(8.5)	(20.2)	(24.6)
Other - net	(6.7)	(1.5)	(8.4)	1.0

Loss from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interests and discontinued operations	(90.0)	(76.8)	(223.9)	(176.8)
Provision for income taxes	(.5)	(7.0)	(4.9)	(21.4)
Minority interests	1.9	1.4	5.8	4.3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Loss from continuing operations	(88.6)	(82.4)	(223.0)	(193.9)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Discontinued operations:				
Loss from operations of curtailed Tacoma facility	-	(1.0)	(1.6)	(4.0)
Gain from sale of Tacoma facility	-	-	9.5	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	-	(1.0)	7.9	(4.0)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (88.6)	\$ (83.4)	\$ (215.1)	\$ (197.9)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Earnings (loss) per share - Basic/Diluted:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (1.11)	\$ (1.02)	\$ (2.78)	\$ (2.40)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ -	\$ (.02)	\$.10	\$ (.05)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net loss	\$ (1.11)	\$ (1.04)	\$ (2.68)	\$ (2.45)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Weighted average shares outstanding (000):				
Basic/Diluted	80,165	80,561	80,220	80,629

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(Unaudited)
(In millions of dollars)

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003

	Common Stock	Additional Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALANCE, December 31, 2002	\$.8	\$ 539.9	\$ (1,382.4)	\$ (243.9)	\$ (1,085.6)
Net loss	-	-	(215.1)	-	(215.1)
Unrealized net decrease in value of derivative instruments arising during the period (including net decrease in value of \$1.9 for the quarter ended September 30, 2003)	-	-	-	(1.3)	(1.3)
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on derivative instruments included in net loss (including net realized gains of \$.2 for the quarter ended September 30, 2003)	-	-	-	(.7)	(.7)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	(217.1)
Restricted stock cancellations	-	(.9)	-	-	(.9)
Restricted stock accretion	-	.3	-	-	.3
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALANCE, September 30, 2003	\$.8	\$ 539.3	\$ (1,597.5)	\$ (245.9)	\$ (1,303.3)
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002

	Common Stock	Additional Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALANCE, December 31, 2001	\$.8	\$ 539.1	\$ (913.7)	\$ (67.3)	\$ (441.1)
Net loss	-	-	(197.9)	-	(197.9)
Unrealized net decrease in value of derivative instruments arising during the period prior to settlement	-	-	-	(12.1)	(12.1)

Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on derivative instruments included in net loss (including net realized gains of \$6.3 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002)	-	-	-	(21.2)	(21.2)
Comprehensive income (loss)					(231.2)
Incentive plan and restricted stock accretion	-	.7	-	-	.7
BALANCE, September 30, 2002	\$.8	\$ 539.8	\$ (1,111.6)	\$ (100.6)	\$ (671.6)

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(In millions of dollars)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (215.1)	\$ (197.9)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization (including deferred financing costs of \$3.8 and \$2.7, respectively)	59.2	70.3
Non-cash charges for restructuring charges in 2003; restructuring charges and reorganization items in 2002	1.9	37.2
Gain on sale of Tacoma facility in 2003 and real estate in 2002	(14.5)	(4.0)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of distributions	(7.2)	(6.7)
Minority interests	(5.8)	(4.3)
(Increase) decrease in trade and other receivables	(1.3)	43.0
Decrease in inventories	27.2	8.3
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(4.4)	42.4
Increase in accounts payable and accrued interest	17.0	31.3
Increase (decrease) in other accrued liabilities	3.0	(36.4)
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliates	21.1	(20.3)
Decrease in accrued and deferred income taxes	(39.0)	(11.2)
Net cash impact of changes in long-term assets and liabilities	69.6	14.5
Other	7.9	(9.1)
Net cash used by operating activities	(80.4)	(42.9)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net proceeds from dispositions: primarily Tacoma facility and interests in office building complex in 2003; equipment and miscellaneous real estate in 2002	83.2	20.8
Capital expenditures	(27.3)	(29.2)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	55.9	(8.4)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Financing costs, primarily DIP Facility related	(4.0)	(8.1)
Net cash used by financing activities	(4.0)	(8.1)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents during the period	(28.5)	(59.4)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	78.7	153.3
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 50.2	\$ 93.9
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Interest paid, net of capitalized interest of \$1.0 and \$.9, respectively	\$ 2.5	\$ 4.4
Income taxes paid	44.7	31.3

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

1. REORGANIZATION PROCEEDINGS

Kaiser Aluminum Corporation ("Kaiser" or the "Company"), its wholly owned subsidiary, Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation ("KACC"), and 24 of KACC's subsidiaries have filed separate voluntary petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court") for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Code"); the Company, KACC and 15 of KACC's subsidiaries (the "Original Debtors") filed in the first quarter of 2002 and nine additional KACC subsidiaries (the "Additional Debtors") filed in the first quarter of 2003. The Original Debtors and Additional Debtors are collectively referred to herein as the "Debtors" and the Chapter 11 proceedings of these entities are collectively referred to herein as the "Cases." For purposes of this Report, the term "Filing Date" shall mean, with respect to any particular Debtor, the date on which such Debtor filed its Case. None of KACC's non-U.S. joint ventures are included in the Cases. The Cases are being jointly administered. The Debtors are managing their businesses in the ordinary course as debtors-in-possession subject to the control and administration of the Court.

Original Debtors. During the first quarter of 2002, the Original Debtors filed separate voluntary petitions for reorganization. The wholly owned subsidiaries of KACC included in such filings were: Kaiser Bellwood Corporation, Kaiser Aluminium International, Inc., Kaiser Aluminum Technical Services, Inc., Kaiser Alumina Australia Corporation (and its wholly owned subsidiary, Kaiser Finance Corporation) and ten other entities with limited balances or activities.

The necessity for filing the Cases by the Original Debtors was attributable to the liquidity and cash flow problems of the Company and its subsidiaries arising in late 2001 and early 2002. The Company was facing significant near-term debt maturities at a time of unusually weak aluminum industry business conditions, depressed aluminum prices and a broad economic slowdown that was further exacerbated by the events of September 11, 2001. In addition, the Company had become increasingly burdened by asbestos litigation and growing legacy obligations for retiree medical and pension costs. The confluence of these factors created the prospect of continuing operating losses and negative cash flows, resulting in lower credit ratings and an inability to access the capital markets.

The outstanding principal of, and accrued interest on, all debt of the Original Debtors became immediately due and payable upon commencement of the Cases. However, the vast majority of the claims in existence at the Filing Date (including claims for principal and accrued interest and substantially all legal proceedings) are stayed (deferred) during the pendency of the Cases. In connection with the filing of the Original Debtors' Cases, the Court, upon motion by the Original Debtors, authorized the Original Debtors to pay or otherwise honor certain unsecured pre-Filing Date claims, including employee wages and benefits and customer claims in the ordinary course of business, subject to certain limitations. In July 2002, the Court also issued a final order authorizing the Company to fund the cash requirements of its foreign joint ventures in the ordinary course of business and to continue using the Company's existing cash management systems. The Original Debtors also have the right to assume or reject executory contracts existing prior to the Filing Date, subject to Court approval and certain other limitations. In this context, "assumption" means that the Original Debtors agree to perform their obligations and cure certain existing defaults under an executory contract and "rejection" means that the Original Debtors are relieved from their obligations to perform further under an executory contract and are subject only to a claim for damages for the breach thereof. Any claim for damages resulting from the rejection of a pre-Filing Date executory contract is treated as a general unsecured claim in the Cases.

Generally, pre-Filing Date claims, including certain contingent or unliquidated claims, against the Original Debtors will fall into two categories: secured and unsecured. Under the Code, a creditor's claim is treated as secured only to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such claim, with the balance of such claim being treated as unsecured. Unsecured and partially secured claims do not accrue interest after the Filing Date. A fully secured claim, however, does accrue interest after the Filing Date until the amount due and owing to the secured creditor, including interest accrued after the Filing Date, is equal to the value of the collateral securing such claim. The amount and validity of pre-Filing Date contingent or unliquidated claims, although presently unknown, ultimately may be established by the Court or by agreement of the parties. As a result of the Cases, additional pre-Filing Date claims and liabilities may be asserted, some of which may be significant.

The Court set January 31, 2003 as the last date by which holders of pre-Filing Date claims against the Original Debtors (other than asbestos-related personal injury claims and certain hearing loss claims) could file their claims. Any holder of a claim that was required to file a claim by such date and did not do so may be barred from asserting such claim against any of the Original Debtors and, accordingly, may not be able to participate in any distribution in any of the Cases on account of such claim. The Company has not yet completed its analysis of all of the proofs of claim to determine their validity. However,

during the course of the Cases, certain matters in respect of the claims have been resolved. All material provisions in respect of claim settlements are included in the accompanying financial statements and are fully disclosed elsewhere herein. The January 31, 2003 bar date does not apply to asbestos-related personal injury claims, for which the Original Debtors reserve the right to establish a separate bar date at a later time. A separate bar date for certain hearing loss claims, which was originally set for June 30, 2003, has been extended to December 31, 2003.

Additional Debtors. On January 14, 2003, the Additional Debtors filed separate voluntary petitions for reorganization. The wholly owned subsidiaries included in such filings were: Kaiser Bauxite Company, Kaiser Jamaica Corporation, Alpart Jamaica Inc., Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical of Canada Limited and five other entities with limited balances or activities.

The Cases filed by the Additional Debtors were commenced, among other reasons, to protect the assets held by these Debtors against possible statutory liens that may arise and be enforced by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") primarily as a result of the Company's failure to meet a \$17.0 accelerated funding requirement to its salaried employee retirement plan in January 2003 (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information regarding the accelerated funding requirement). From an operating perspective, the filing of the Cases by the Additional Debtors had no impact on the Company's day-to-day operations.

In connection with the Additional Debtors' filings, the Court authorized the Additional Debtors to continue to make payments in the normal course of business (including payments of certain pre-Filing Date amounts), including payments of wages and benefits, payments for items such as materials, supplies and freight and payments of taxes. The Court also approved the continuation of the Company's existing cash management systems and routine intercompany transactions involving, among other transactions, the transfer of materials and supplies among subsidiaries and affiliates.

In March 2003, the Court set May 15, 2003 as the last date by which holders of pre-Filing Date claims against the Additional Debtors (other than asbestos-related personal injury claims and certain hearing loss claims) could file their claims. Any holder of a claim that was required to file a claim by such date and did not do so may be barred from asserting such claim against any of the Additional Debtors and, accordingly, may not be able to participate in any distribution in any of the Cases on account of such claim. The Company has not yet completed its analysis of all of the proofs of claim to determine their validity. However, during the course of the Cases, certain matters in respect of the claims have been resolved. All material provisions in respect of claim settlements are included in the accompanying financial statements and are fully disclosed elsewhere herein.

All Debtors. Two creditors' committees, one representing the unsecured creditors (the "UCC") and the other representing the asbestos claimants (the "ACC"), have been appointed as official committees in the Cases and, in accordance with the provisions of the Code, will have the right to be heard on all matters that come before the Court. In August 2003, the Court approved the appointment of a committee of salaried retirees (the "1114 Committee" and, together with the UCC and the ACC, the "Committees") with whom the Debtors are discussing necessary changes, including the modification or termination, of certain retiree benefits (such as medical and insurance) under Section 1114 of the Code. The Debtors expect that the Committees, together with the legal representative for potential future asbestos claimants (the "Futures' Representative") that has been appointed in the Cases, will play important roles in the Cases and the negotiation of the terms of any plan or plans of reorganization. The Debtors are required to bear certain costs and expenses for the Committees and the Futures' Representative, including those of their counsel and other advisors.

As provided by the Code, the Original Debtors had the exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization for 120 days following the initial Filing Date. The Court has subsequently approved several extensions of the exclusivity period for all Debtors, the most recent of which was set to expire October 31, 2003. A motion to extend the exclusivity period through February 29, 2004, was filed by the Debtors in October 2003. By filing the motion to extend the exclusivity period, the period is automatically extended until the December 15, 2003 Court hearing date. As the Debtors' motion to extend the exclusivity period through February 29, 2004 was agreed to by the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative in advance of the filing, the Debtors expect the motion to be approved by the Court. Additional extensions are likely to be sought. However, no assurance can be given that such future extension requests will be granted by the Court. If the Debtors fail to file a plan of reorganization during the exclusivity period, or if such plan is not accepted by the requisite numbers of creditors and equity holders entitled to vote on the plan, other parties in interest in the Cases may be permitted to propose their own plan(s) of reorganization for the Debtors.

The Debtors anticipate that substantially all liabilities of the Debtors as of the date of the Filing will be settled under one or more plans of reorganization to be proposed and voted on in accordance with the provisions of the Code.

Although the Debtors intend to file and seek confirmation of such a plan or plans, there can be no assurance as to when the Debtors will file such a plan or plans or as to whether such plan or plans will be confirmed by the Court and consummated. At this time, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the Cases, in general, or the effect any such outcome may have on the businesses of the Debtors.

In working toward a plan of reorganization, the Debtors have been, and continue to be, engaged in discussions with each of their key constituencies, including the Committees, the Futures' Representative, the PBGC, and the appropriate union representatives. The treatment of individual groups of creditors in any such plan of reorganization cannot be determined definitively at this time. The ultimate treatment of and recoveries to individual creditors is dependent on, among other things, the total amount of claims against the Debtors as ultimately determined by the Court, the priority of the applicable claim, the outcome of ongoing discussions with the key constituencies, the amount of value available for distribution in respect of claims and the completion of the plan confirmation process consistent with applicable bankruptcy law.

The Debtors' objective is to achieve the highest possible recoveries for all stakeholders, consistent with the Debtors' abilities to pay, and to continue the operations of their businesses. However, there can be no assurance that the Debtors will be able to attain these objectives or achieve a successful reorganization. While valuation of the Debtors' assets and estimation of pre-Filing Date claims at this stage of the Cases are subject to inherent uncertainties, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that their liabilities will be found to exceed the fair value of their assets. Therefore, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that substantially all pre-Filing Date claims will be settled at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be cancelled without consideration. Further, the Debtors believe that it is likely that: (a) the claims of pre-petition creditors that are given certain priorities by statute or have the benefit of guarantees or other contractual or structural seniority will likely receive substantially greater recoveries than pre-petition creditors that have no such priorities or seniority; and (b) all pending and future asbestos-related personal injury claims are likely to be resolved through the formation, pursuant to a plan of reorganization, of a statutory trust to which all claims would be directed by a channeling injunction that would permanently remove all asbestos liability from the Debtors. The trust would be funded according to statutory requirements, using the Debtors' insurance assets and certain other consideration that has yet to be agreed. No assurances can be provided that the foregoing will ultimately be included in any plan(s) of reorganization the Debtors may file. Further, while the Debtors believe it is possible to successfully reorganize their operations and emerge from Chapter 11 in 2004, their ability to do so is subject to inherent market-related risks as well as successful negotiation and Court approval for the treatment of creditors consistent with the applicable bankruptcy law.

The Company expects that, when the Debtors ultimately file a plan of reorganization, it is likely to reflect the Company's strategic vision for emergence from Chapter 11: (a) a standalone going concern with manageable leverage, improved cost structure and competitive strength; (b) a company positioned to execute its long-standing vision of market leadership and growth in fabricated products specifically with a financial structure that provides financial flexibility, including access to capital markets, for accretive acquisitions; (c) a company that delivers a broad product offering and leadership in service and quality for its customers and distributors; and (d) a company with continued presence in those commodities markets that have the potential to generate significant cash at steady-state metal prices. While the Company intends to continue to pursue a standalone fabricated products company emergence strategy, from time to time the Debtors may also evaluate other reorganization strategies, consistent with the Debtors' responsibility to maximize the recoveries for its stakeholders. The Company's advisors have developed a preliminary timeline that, assuming the current pace of the Cases continues, could allow the Company to emerge from Chapter 11 in mid-2004. However, the Debtors' ability to do so is subject to the confirmation of a plan of reorganization in accordance with the applicable bankruptcy law. Accordingly, no assurances can be given in this regard.

In light of the Company's stated strategy and to further the Debtors' ultimate planned emergence from Chapter 11, the Debtors, with the approval of the Board of Directors and in consultation with the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative, are exploring the possible sale of one or more of their commodities businesses. In particular, the Debtors are currently exploring the possible sale of their interests in and related to: (a) Alumina Partners of Jamaica ("Alpart"); (b) Anglesey Aluminium Limited ("Anglesey"); and (c) KACC's alumina refinery located at Gramercy, Louisiana ("Gramercy") and Kaiser Jamaica Bauxite Company ("KJBC"). The possible sale of the Debtors' interests in respect of Gramercy and KJBC is being explored jointly given their significant integration.

In exploring the sale of the commodities businesses, the Debtors, through their investment advisors, surveyed the potential market and initiated discussions with numerous parties believed to have an interest in such assets. In addition, other parties contacted the Debtors and/or their investment advisors to express

an interest in purchasing the assets. The Debtors provided (subject to confidentiality agreements) information regarding the applicable interests to these parties, each of which was asked to submit a non-binding expression of interest regarding the individual assets. After receiving these initial expressions of interest from potential purchasers, the Debtors determined which of the expressions of interest received through the date hereof represented reasonable indications of value ("Qualified Bids"). Potential bidders ("Qualified Bidders") that submitted Qualified Bids were then permitted to conduct due diligence in respect of the assets for which they submitted a Qualified Bid and to submit definitive proposals.

The Debtors are currently reviewing the definitive proposals submitted and, in consultation with the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative, and other key constituencies, will determine whether to pursue further negotiations with Qualified Bidders. Although the Company's Board of Directors has authorized the Debtors to explore the potential divestiture of each of the identified commodities businesses, the Company's Board of Directors has not, as of this time, authorized the sale of any of the interests. Any sale that the Debtors might ultimately choose to pursue would be subject to the approval of the Company's Board of Directors, the lenders under the Debtors' post-petition credit agreement (the "DIP Facility" - see Note 5) and the Court. In addition, the DIP Facility would have to be amended or modified in connection with any such sales. Moreover, in connection with any sales of the interests in Alpart and Anglesey, the Debtors would be required to accommodate certain rights of the other holders in those entities to purchase the Debtors' interests at the price specified at which such interests are proposed to be sold by the Debtors.

While no commodity asset sales are currently imminent, it is possible that one or more sales may occur during the first half of 2004. However, no assurances can be given that acceptable offers will be received for any assets or that any assets will ultimately be sold. Further, the Company's strategic vision is subject to continuing review in consultation with the Company's stakeholders and may also be modified from time to time as the Cases proceed due to such items as changes in the global markets, changes in the economics of the Company's facilities or changing financial circumstances.

Continued sales of non-core assets and facilities that are ultimately determined not to be an important part of the reorganized entity are likely.

Financial Statement Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position 90-7 ("SOP 90-7"), Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code, and on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. However, as a result of the Cases, such realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties.

As previously disclosed, if the Company were to decide to sell certain assets not deemed a critical part of a reorganized Kaiser, such asset sales could result in gains or losses (depending on the asset sold) and such gains or losses could be significant. This is because, under generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), assets to be held and used are evaluated for recoverability differently than assets to be sold or disposed of. Assets to be held and used are evaluated based on their expected undiscounted future net cash flows. So long as the Company reasonably expects that such undiscounted future net cash flows for each asset will exceed the recorded value of the asset being evaluated, no impairment is required. However, if possible or probable plans to sell or dispose of an asset or group of assets meet a number of specific criteria, then, under GAAP, such assets should be considered held for sale/disposition and their recoverability should be evaluated, for each asset, based on expected consideration to be received upon disposition. Sales or dispositions at a particular time will be affected by, among other things, the existing industry and general economic circumstances as well as the Company's own circumstances, including whether or not assets will (or must) be sold on an accelerated or more extended timetable. Such circumstances may cause the expected value in a sale or disposition scenario to differ materially from the realizable value over the normal operating life of assets, which would likely be evaluated on long-term industry trends.

As discussed more fully above, the Company is exploring the possible divestiture of certain of its commodity assets. Specifically, the Company is engaged in separate processes that could result in the divestiture of the Company's interests in Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC. Definitive bids for such assets have recently been received and are currently being evaluated. It is possible that additional bids may be received. It is possible that one or all of the bids could be deemed to be acceptable and that sale transactions in respect of the Company's interests in these assets may be completed during the first half of 2004. It is also possible that the Company's Board of Directors, after appropriate consultation with the Company's advisors and the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative and other key constituencies in the Cases, may determine that a sale of one or more of these assets, at this point, is not in the best interests of creditors and other stakeholders.

For the purpose of preparing the September 30, 2003 financial statements, the Company evaluated the book value of its interests in long-term assets related to

these interests on a "hold and use" basis since, among other requirements, none of the Company's Board of Directors, the DIP Facility lenders or the Court have approved any possible sales. The Company believes that, if the assets are retained, undiscounted net cash flows associated with these assets are sufficient to recover the Company's book value of these long-term assets at September 30, 2003, which were as follows:

Anglesey	\$	15.0
Alpart(1)		196.6
Gramercy/KJBC		375.9

	\$	587.5
		=====

(1) Kaiser's 65% share of Alpart's net property, plant and equipment.

The Company cannot currently predict whether the Company's interests in Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC will ultimately be sold as a result of the aforementioned processes. Further, as stated above, the Company has not had sufficient time to evaluate the terms of the various proposals submitted by the possible purchasers. Additionally, it is possible that the terms of any transactions may change by the time that definitive agreements are signed, requisite approvals of the DIP Facility lenders are obtained and the Court approval for such transaction would be sought. Therefore, in evaluating the Debtors' share of the long-term assets and interests related to Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC, the Company did not include a sale scenario in its impairment evaluation. However, the Company believes that if it were to sell these assets, it is likely that (a) a gain would result on the sale of interests in Anglesey, (b) the interests in Alpart would be sold for amounts within a reasonable range of its net book value, and (c) the sale of the Company's interests in Gramercy/KJBC would result in a material non-cash impairment charge.

However, as discussed above, since any sale is subject to a number of approvals (including the Board of Directors, the DIP Facility lenders and the Court) and further negotiations still have to occur, no assurances can be given that any of the foregoing interests or other assets will be sold.

Financial Information. Condensed consolidating financial statements of the Debtors and non-Debtors are set forth below:

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Current assets	\$ 325.9	\$ 29.5	\$ 109.7	\$ -	\$ 465.1
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates	1,418.9	206.1	.1	(1,549.2)	75.9
Intercompany receivables (payables), net	(979.5)	893.7	85.8	-	-
Property and equipment, net	572.2	18.0	380.6	-	970.8
Deferred income taxes	(81.9)	81.9	-	-	-
Other assets	526.5	.4	7.7	-	534.6
	<u>\$ 1,782.1</u>	<u>\$ 1,229.6</u>	<u>\$ 583.9</u>	<u>\$ (1,549.2)</u>	<u>\$ 2,046.4</u>
Liabilities not subject to compromise -					
Current liabilities	\$ 246.3	\$ 25.4	\$ 85.3	\$ (13.5)	\$ 343.5
Long-term liabilities	82.8	16.1	28.7	-	127.6
Liabilities subject to compromise	2,755.6	-	-	-	2,755.6
Minority interests	.7	-	104.9	17.4	123.0
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,303.3)	1,188.1	365.0	(1,553.1)	(1,303.3)
	<u>\$ 1,782.1</u>	<u>\$ 1,229.6</u>	<u>\$ 583.9</u>	<u>\$ (1,549.2)</u>	<u>\$ 2,046.4</u>

For condensed consolidating balance sheets of the Debtors and non-Debtors as of December 31, 2002, see Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 292.6	\$ 10.9	\$ 23.6	\$ -	\$ 327.1
Costs and expenses -					

Operating costs and expenses	342.8	9.9	34.4	-	387.1
Other operating charges, net	15.0	-	-	-	15.0
	357.8	9.9	34.4		402.1
Operating income (loss)	(65.2)	1.0	(10.8)	-	(75.0)
Interest expense	(2.7)	-	(.2)	-	(2.9)
All other income (expense), net	(11.8)	(3.1)	.1	2.7	(12.1)
Income tax and minority interests	(1.3)	(1.2)	3.9	-	1.4
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(7.6)	-	-	7.6	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(88.6)	(3.3)	(7.0)	10.3	(88.6)
Discontinued operations	-	-	-	-	-
Net income (loss)	\$ (88.6)	\$ (3.3)	\$ (7.0)	\$ 10.3	\$ (88.6)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 309.5	\$ 13.1	\$ 52.0	\$ (26.6)	\$ 348.0
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	352.6	5.5	55.8	(26.6)	387.3
Other operating charges, net	24.3	-	1.0	-	25.3
	376.9	5.5	56.8	(26.6)	412.6
Operating income (loss)	(67.4)	7.6	(4.8)	-	(64.6)
Interest expense	(2.0)	-	(.2)	-	(2.2)
All other income (expense), net	(9.6)	(3.0)	.1	2.5	(10.0)
Income tax and minority interests	(2.2)	(5.8)	2.4	-	(5.6)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(1.2)	-	-	1.2	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(82.4)	(1.2)	(2.5)	3.7	(82.4)
Discontinued operations	(1.0)	-	-	-	(1.0)
Net income (loss)	\$ (83.4)	\$ (1.2)	\$ (2.5)	\$ 3.7	\$ (83.4)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 920.2	\$ 35.4	\$ 82.7	\$ (13.4)	\$ 1,024.9
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	1,069.0	22.2	118.6	(13.4)	1,196.4
Other operating charges, net	15.6	-	-	-	15.6
	1,084.6	22.2	118.6	(13.4)	1,212.0
Operating income (loss)	(164.4)	13.2	(35.9)	-	(187.1)
Interest expense	(7.6)	-	(.6)	-	(8.2)
All other income (expense), net	(30.1)	(7.5)	.8	8.2	(28.6)
Income tax and minority interests	(3.9)	(8.4)	13.2	-	.9
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(17.0)	-	-	17.0	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(223.0)	(2.7)	(22.5)	25.2	(223.0)
Discontinued operations	7.9	-	-	-	7.9
Net income (loss)	\$ (215.1)	\$ (2.7)	\$ (22.5)	\$ 25.2	\$ (215.1)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 996.2	\$ 36.1	\$ 156.3	\$ (83.7)	\$ 1,104.9
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	1,106.0	13.2	170.0	(83.7)	1,205.5
Other operating charges, net	33.4	-	1.0	-	34.4

	1,139.4	13.2	171.0	(83.7)	1,239.9
Operating income (loss)	(143.2)	22.9	(14.7)	-	(135.0)
Interest expense	(17.2)	-	(1.0)	-	(18.2)
All other income (expense), net	(22.7)	(8.6)	.1	7.6	(23.6)
Income tax and minority interests	(5.9)	(16.2)	5.0	-	(17.1)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(4.9)	-	-	4.9	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(193.9)	(1.9)	(10.6)	12.5	(193.9)
Discontinued operations	(4.0)	-	-	-	(4.0)
Net income (loss)	\$ (197.9)	\$ (1.9)	\$ (10.6)	\$ 12.5	\$ (197.9)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided (used) by:					
Operating activities	\$ (100.6)	\$.1	\$ 20.1	\$ -	\$ (80.4)
Investing activities	76.2	(.2)	(20.1)	-	55.9
Financing activities	(4.0)	-	-	-	(4.0)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(28.4)	(.1)	-	-	(28.5)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	75.5	2.1	1.1	-	78.7
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 47.1	\$ 2.0	\$ 1.1	\$ -	\$ 50.2

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided (used) by:					
Operating activities	\$ (67.2)	\$ 1.3	\$ 23.0	\$ -	\$ (42.9)
Investing activities	15.1	(.6)	(22.9)	-	(8.4)
Financing activities	(8.1)	-	-	-	(8.1)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(60.2)	.7	.1	-	(59.4)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	151.6	1.4	.3	-	153.3
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 91.4	\$ 2.1	\$.4	\$ -	\$ 93.9

Classification of Liabilities as "Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise" Versus "Liabilities Subject to Compromise." Liabilities not subject to compromise include: (1) liabilities incurred after the Filing Date of the Cases; (2) pre-Filing Date liabilities that the Debtors expect to pay in full, including priority tax and employee claims and certain environmental liabilities, even though certain of these amounts may not be paid until a plan of reorganization is approved; and (3) pre-Filing Date liabilities that have been approved for payment by the Court and that the Debtors expect to pay (in advance of a plan of reorganization) over the next twelve-month period in the ordinary course of business, including certain employee related items (salaries, vacation and medical benefits), claims subject to a currently existing collective bargaining agreement, and postretirement medical and other costs associated with retirees (however, see note (2) to the table below).

Liabilities subject to compromise refer to all other pre-Filing Date liabilities of the Debtors. The amounts of the various categories of liabilities that are subject to compromise are set forth below. These amounts represent the Company's estimates of known or probable pre-Filing Date claims that are likely to be resolved in connection with the Cases. Such claims remain subject to future adjustments. Further, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that substantially all pre-Filing Date claims will be settled at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be cancelled without consideration.

The amounts subject to compromise at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 consisted of the following items:

September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
-----------------------	----------------------

Items, absent the Cases, that would have been considered current:		
Accounts payable	\$ 50.9	\$ 47.6
Accrued interest	44.0	44.0
Accrued salaries, wages and related expenses(1)	159.0	59.0
Other accrued liabilities (including asbestos liability of \$130.0 - Note 7)	145.7	150.6
Items, absent the Cases, that would have been considered long-term:		
Accrued pension benefits	299.8	362.7
Accrued postretirement medical obligation(2)	661.4	672.4
Long-term liabilities(3)	564.6	559.5
Debt (Note 5)	830.2	830.2
	-----	-----
	\$ 2,755.6	\$ 2,726.0
	=====	=====

- (1) Accrued salaries, wages and related expenses represent estimated minimum pension contributions that, absent the Cases, would have otherwise been payable. Amounts for the period ended September 30, 2003 include approximately \$100.0 associated with estimated special liquidity and other pension contributions that were not made. As previously disclosed, the Company does not currently expect to make any pension contributions in respect of its domestic pension plans. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information about non-payment of pension contributions.
- (2) In August 2003, the Court approved the appointment of the 1114 Committee with whom the Debtors are discussing necessary changes, including the modification or termination, of certain salaried retiree benefits (such as medical and insurance) under Section 1114 of the Code. Separately, the Debtors are discussing modification or termination of hourly retiree benefits pursuant to collective bargaining agreements with the appropriate union representatives. The Company has continued to report the current portion of accrued postretirement medical obligations as liabilities not subject to compromise, but this treatment is subject to change depending on the outcome of the aforementioned discussions and specific actions by the Company.
- (3) Long-term liabilities include environmental liabilities of \$43.0 at September 30, 2003 and \$21.7 at December 31, 2002 (Note 7) and asbestos liabilities of \$480.1 at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 (Note 7).

The classification of liabilities "not subject to compromise" versus liabilities "subject to compromise" is based on currently available information and analysis. See Note 5 for a discussion of the solid waste disposal revenue bonds which are currently classified as liabilities not subject to compromise. However, a portion of the bonds could be reclassified to liabilities subject to compromise based on the results of an appraisal which the Company is currently obtaining. As the Cases proceed and additional information and analysis is completed or, as the Court rules on relevant matters, the classification of amounts between these two categories may change. The amount of any such changes could be significant. Additionally, as the Company evaluates the proofs of claim filed in the Cases, adjustments will be made for those claims that the Company believes will probably be allowed by the Court. The amount of such claims could be significant.

Reorganization Items. Reorganization items under the Cases are expense or income items that are incurred or realized by the Company because it is in reorganization. These items include, but are not limited to, professional fees and similar types of expenses incurred directly related to the Cases, loss accruals or gains or losses resulting from activities of the reorganization process, and interest earned on cash accumulated by the Debtors because they are not paying their pre-Filing Date liabilities. For the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, reorganization items were as follows:

	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Professional fees	\$ 5.5	\$ 8.9	\$ 20.7	\$ 19.8
Accelerated amortization of certain deferred financing costs	-	-	-	4.5
Interest income	(.2)	(.5)	(.7)	(1.6)
Other	.1	.1	.2	1.9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 5.4	\$ 8.5	\$ 20.2	\$ 24.6
	=====	=====	=====	=====

As required by SOP 90-7, in the first quarter of 2002, the Company recorded the Debtors' pre-Filing Date debt that is subject to compromise at the allowed amount. Accordingly, the Company accelerated the amortization of debt-related premium, discount and costs attributable to this debt and recorded a net expense of approximately \$4.5 in Reorganization items during the first quarter of 2002.

Trust Fund. During the first quarter of 2002, KACC paid \$5.8 into a trust fund in respect of potential liability obligations of directors and officers.

2. GENERAL

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Going Concern. The interim consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared on a "going concern" basis which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business; however, as a result of the commencement of the Cases, such realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties. Specifically, the interim consolidated financial statements do not present: (a) the realizable value of assets on a liquidation basis or the availability of such assets to satisfy liabilities, (b) the amount which will ultimately be paid to settle liabilities and contingencies which may be allowed in the Cases, or (c) the effect of any changes that may occur in connection with the Debtors' capitalizations or operations of the Debtors as a result of a plan of reorganization. Because of the ongoing nature of the Cases, the discussions and consolidated financial statements contained herein are subject to material uncertainties. See Financial Statement Presentation in Note 1 for a discussion of possible impairment charges as a result of the Company's potential divestitures of its interests in any or all of its commodities businesses.

Principles of Consolidation. The Company is a subsidiary of MAXXAM Inc. ("MAXXAM"). MAXXAM and one of its wholly owned subsidiaries together own approximately 62% of the Company's Common Stock, with the remaining approximately 38% publicly held. The Company operates through its subsidiary, KACC.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements furnished herein include all adjustments, all of which are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise noted, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities known to exist as of the date the financial statements are published, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and assumptions are inherent in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements; accordingly, it is possible that the actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, which could have a material effect on the reported amounts of the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Operating results for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2003.

Earnings per Share. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period including the weighted average impact of the shares of Common Stock issued during the year from the date(s) of issuance. However, earnings (loss) per share may not be meaningful, because as a part of a plan of reorganization, it is likely that the equity interests of the Company's existing stockholders will be cancelled without consideration.

Derivative Financial Instruments. Hedging transactions using derivative financial instruments are primarily designed to mitigate KACC's exposure to changes in prices for certain of the products which KACC sells and consumes and, to a lesser extent, to mitigate KACC's exposure to change in foreign currency exchange rates. KACC does not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes. KACC's derivative activities are initiated within guidelines established by management and approved by KACC's and the Company's boards of directors. Hedging transactions are executed centrally on behalf of all of KACC's business segments to minimize transaction costs, monitor consolidated net exposure and allow for increased responsiveness to changes in market factors.

See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and Note 8 for additional information regarding derivative financial instruments.

3. INVENTORIES

The classification of inventories is as follows:

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Finished fabricated aluminum products	\$ 23.3	\$ 28.1
Primary aluminum and work in process	74.9	71.2
Bauxite and alumina	52.2	72.9
Operating supplies and repair and maintenance parts	77.3	82.7
Total	<u>\$ 227.7</u>	<u>\$ 254.9</u>

Substantially all product inventories are stated at last-in, first-out ("LIFO") cost, not in excess of market. Replacement cost is not in excess of LIFO cost.

4. PACIFIC NORTHWEST SMELTER CURTAILMENTS AND RELATED POWER MATTERS

Future Power Supply. During October 2000, KACC signed a new power contract with the Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA") under which the BPA, starting October 1, 2001, was to provide KACC's operations in the State of Washington with approximately 290 megawatts of power through September 2006. The contract provided KACC with sufficient power to fully operate KACC's Trentwood facility, as well as approximately 40% of the combined capacity of KACC's Mead and Tacoma aluminum smelting operations which have been curtailed since the last half of 2000.

As a part of the reorganization process, the Company concluded that it was in its best interest to reject the BPA contract as permitted by the Code. As such, with the authorization of the Court, the Company rejected the BPA contract on September 30, 2002. The contract rejection gives rise to a pre-petition claim (see Note 1). The BPA has filed a proof of claim for approximately \$75.0 in connection with the Cases in respect of the contract rejection. The claim is expected to be settled in the overall context of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. Accordingly, any payments that may be required as a result of the rejection of the BPA contract are expected to only be made pursuant to a plan of reorganization and upon the Company's emergence from the Cases. The amount of the BPA claim will be determined either through a negotiated settlement, litigation or a computation of prevailing power prices over the contract period. As the amount of the BPA's claim in respect of the contract rejection has not been determined, no provision has been made for the claim in the accompanying financial statements. KACC has entered into a rolling short-term contract with an alternate supplier to provide the power necessary to operate its Trentwood facility.

In addition to the BPA power contract, KACC had a transmission service agreement with the BPA under which the BPA transmitted power to KACC's Mead, Tacoma and Trentwood facilities. In October 2003, with the approval of the Court, the BPA agreement was restructured. Key aspects of the restructuring included: (a) the existing transmission service agreement was terminated; (b) KACC and the BPA entered into two new transmission service agreements that provide for the transmission of power for the Mead and Trentwood facilities at reduced transmission costs; and (c) KACC and the BPA agreed that the BPA would be allowed to file an unsecured pre-Filing Date claim of approximately \$3.2 (which amount has been reflected in Other operating charges, net - see Note 10 in respect of the termination of the existing agreement).

Smelter Operating Rate. In January 2003, the Company announced the indefinite curtailment of the Mead facility. The curtailment of the Mead facility was due to the continuing unfavorable market dynamics, specifically unattractive long-term power prices and weak primary aluminum prices - both of which are significant impediments for an older smelter with higher-than-average operating costs. The Mead facility is expected to remain completely curtailed unless and until an appropriate combination of reduced power prices, higher primary aluminum prices and other factors occurs. The restart of a portion of KACC's Mead facility would require the purchase of additional power from available sources. For KACC to make such a decision, it would have to be able to purchase such power at a reasonable price in relation to current and expected market conditions for a sufficient term to justify its restart costs, which could be significant depending on the number of lines restarted and the length of time between the shutdown and restart. Given recent primary aluminum prices and the forward price of power in the Northwest, it is unlikely that KACC will operate the Mead facility in the near future. If KACC were to restart all or a portion of its Mead facility, it would take at least three to six months to reach the full operating rate for such operations, depending upon the number of lines restarted. Even after achieving the full operating rate, operating only a portion of the Mead facility would result in production/cost inefficiencies such that operating results would, at best, be breakeven to modestly negative at long-term primary aluminum prices. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for a discussion of the Northwest smelters 2002 impairment charge.

In January 2003, the Court approved the sale of the Tacoma facility to the Port of Tacoma. The sale closed in February 2003. See Note 9 for additional discussion on the sale of the Tacoma facility.

5. LONG-TERM DEBT

Debt consists of the following:

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Secured:		
Post-Petition Credit Agreement	\$ -	\$ -
8 1/4% Alpart CARIFA Loans due 2007	22.0	22.0
7.6% Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds due 2027	19.0	19.0
Other borrowings (fixed rate)	2.5	2.6
Unsecured (reflected as Liabilities Subject to Compromise):		
9 7/8% Senior Notes due 2002, net	172.8	172.8
10 7/8% Senior Notes due 2006, net	225.0	225.0
12 3/4% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2003	400.0	400.0
Other borrowings (fixed and variable rates)	32.4	32.4
Total	873.7	873.8
Less - Current portion	1.2	.9
Pre-Filing Date claims included in liabilities subject to compromise (Note 1)	830.2	830.2
Long-term debt	\$ 42.3	\$ 42.7

Post-Petition Credit Agreement. On February 12, 2002, the Company and KACC entered into the DIP Facility with a group of lenders for debtor-in-possession financing. In March 2003, certain of the Additional Debtors were added as co-guarantors and the DIP Facility lenders received super-priority status with respect to certain of the Additional Debtors' assets. The DIP Facility provides for a secured, revolving line of credit through the earlier of February 13, 2005 (extended from February 12, 2004 in August 2003 as discussed below), the effective date of a plan of reorganization or voluntary termination by the Company. Under the DIP Facility, KACC is able to borrow amounts by means of revolving credit advances and to have issued for its benefit letters of credit (up to \$125.0) in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of \$285.0 (reduced from \$300.0 in August 2003 as discussed below) or a borrowing base relating to eligible accounts receivable, eligible inventory and an amortizing fixed asset component, reduced by certain reserves, as defined in the DIP Facility agreement. The DIP Facility is guaranteed by the Company and certain significant subsidiaries of KACC. Interest on any outstanding borrowings will bear a spread over either a base rate or LIBOR, at KACC's option. As of September 30, 2003, \$154.3 was available to the Company under the DIP Facility (of which up to \$75.1 could be used for additional letters of credit) and no borrowings were outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The DIP Facility requires KACC to comply with certain covenants and places restrictions on the Company's, KACC's and KACC's subsidiaries' ability to, among other things, incur debt and liens, make investments, pay dividends, sell assets, undertake transactions with affiliates, make capital expenditures, and enter into unrelated lines of business. During June 2003 and August 2003, the Company and the DIP Facility lenders completed two amendments. The first of the two amendments (the fifth amendment to the DIP Facility) was necessary in order to permit the Company to take certain actions necessary to facilitate access by Queensland Alumina Limited ("QAL"), the Company's 20%-owned affiliate, to amounts available to QAL under its financing arrangements, thereby reducing the Company's and the other owners' funding requirements for QAL. The Company's share of such additional financings at QAL is \$43.0. The fifth amendment to the DIP Facility was approved by the Court in June 2003. The major provisions of the second of the two amendments (the sixth amendment to the DIP Facility) included: (a) an extension of the maturity of the DIP Facility to February 2005, (b) an increase in the eligible borrowing base amount under the DIP Facility by, among other things, restoring the amortizing fixed asset component to the original \$100.0 amount as of August 2003, (c) the incorporation of the May 2003 limited waiver and a further modification of the financial covenant for periods beginning June 30, 2003, and (d) a reduction of the commitment amount of the DIP Facility to \$285.0. The sixth amendment was approved by the Court in August 2003.

The DIP Facility contains a number of provisions that address or could be affected by any asset sales that may result from the possible commodity asset divestiture program (see Note 1), including provisions that: (a) limit asset dispositions by the Debtors; (b) limit releases of collateral securing obligations under the DIP Facility; (c) address the Debtors' use of proceeds from asset dispositions; (d) impact the determination of the borrowing base and the amount of commitments under the DIP Facility; and (e) provide for certain financial provisions. As a result, amendments or modifications to the DIP Facility would be necessary in connection with any proposed commodity asset sales. The Debtors will seek the requisite amendments or modifications to the DIP Facility as required.

The borrowing base under the DIP Facility could be materially affected by any asset dispositions that are ultimately completed because: (a) the DIP Facility

currently provides that the fixed asset component of the borrowing base is reduced by the amount of proceeds received from certain asset dispositions (but not to an amount less than zero); (b) eligible receivables and inventories related to such assets would no longer be included; and (c) it is unclear to what extent sales proceeds resulting from any asset sales that may be completed would be available to the Company or be available to support the borrowing base.

8 1/4% Alpart CARIFA Loans. The Alpart CARIFA financing would have to be repaid if the Company's interests in Alpart were to be sold (as discussed in Note 1). Additionally, upon any such payment, the Company's letter of credit obligation under the DIP Facility securing the loans (see Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002) would be cancelled.

7.6% Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds. The 7.6% solid waste disposal revenue bonds (the "Solid Waste Bonds") are secured by certain (but not all) of the facilities and equipment at the curtailed Mead facility (see Note 4). Given the uncertainty regarding the Mead facility's future operations, the Company currently believes that it is unlikely that the value of the collateral securing the Solid Waste Bonds will be sufficient for full recovery of the bondholders' claim. To the extent that the total claim amount exceeds the value of the collateral, any such excess will represent an unsecured claim subject to compromise. The Company is currently obtaining an independent appraisal that will assist it in estimating the value of the collateral securing the Solid Waste Bonds. No adjustment has been reflected in the accompanying financial statements to reclassify any amount of claims in excess of the collateral value from secured debt (i.e. liabilities not subject to compromise) to liabilities subject to compromise. However, the Company expects that such a reclassification is likely and that the portion of the claim amount that will be reclassified to liabilities subject to compromise will be significant.

6. INCOME TAXES

The income tax provisions of \$.5 and \$7.0 for the quarters ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$4.9 and \$21.4 for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, relate primarily to foreign income taxes. For the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, as a result of the Cases, the Company did not recognize any U.S. income tax benefit for the losses incurred from its domestic operations (including temporary differences) or any U.S. income tax benefit for foreign income taxes. Instead, the increases in federal and state deferred tax assets as a result of additional net operating losses and foreign tax credits generated in 2003 and 2002 were fully offset by increases in valuation allowances. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information regarding the Deferred Tax Assets and Valuation Allowances.

In March 2003, the Company paid approximately \$22.0 in settlement of certain foreign tax matters in respect of a number of prior periods.

7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Impact of Reorganization Proceedings. During the pendency of the Cases, substantially all pending litigation, except certain environmental claims and litigation, against the Debtors is stayed. Generally, claims against a Debtor arising from actions or omissions prior to its Filing Date will be settled in connection with the plan of reorganization.

Commitments. KACC has a variety of financial commitments, including purchase agreements, tolling arrangements, forward foreign exchange and forward sales contracts (see Note 8), letters of credit, and guarantees. Such purchase agreements and tolling arrangements include long-term agreements for the purchase and tolling of bauxite into alumina in Australia by QAL. These obligations are scheduled to expire in 2008. Under the agreements, KACC is unconditionally obligated to pay its proportional share (20%) of debt, operating costs, and certain other costs of QAL. KACC's share of the aggregate minimum amount of required future principal payments as of September 30, 2003, was \$60.0, which amount matures in varying amounts during the 2005 to 2008 period. KACC's share of QAL's debt increased by approximately \$8.0 during the quarter ended September 30, 2003 as the additional draw downs on QAL financing (KACC's share \$40.0) more than offset KACC's share (\$32.0) of QAL's debt principal payment. During July 2002, KACC made payments of approximately \$29.5 to QAL to fund KACC's share of QAL's scheduled debt maturities (see Note 5). KACC's share of payments to QAL, including operating costs and certain other expenses under the agreements, has ranged between \$95.0 - \$103.0 per year over the past three years. KACC also has agreements to supply alumina to and to purchase aluminum from Anglesey.

Minimum rental commitments under operating leases at December 31, 2002, were as follows: years ending December 31, 2003 - \$15.2; 2004 - \$6.2; 2005 - \$5.4; 2006 - \$3.1; 2007 - \$2.4; thereafter - \$3.7. Pursuant to the Code, the Debtors may elect to reject or assume unexpired pre-petition leases. At this time, no decisions have been made as to which significant leases will be accepted or rejected (see Note 1).

Rental expenses were \$38.3, \$41.0 and \$42.5 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

KACC had a long-term liability, net of estimated subleases income, in respect of the Kaiser Center office complex in Oakland, California, in which KACC had not maintained offices for a number of years, but for which it was responsible for lease payments as master tenant through 2008 under a sale-and-leaseback agreement. The Company also held an investment in certain notes issued by the owners of the building (which were included in Other Assets). In October 2002, the Company entered into a contract to sell its interests in the office complex. As the contract amount was less than the asset's net carrying value, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge in 2002 of approximately \$20.0 (see Note 10). The sale was approved by the Court in February 2003 and closed in March 2003. Net cash proceeds were approximately \$61.1.

Environmental Contingencies. The Company and KACC are subject to a number of environmental laws, to fines or penalties assessed for alleged breaches of the environmental laws, and to claims and litigation based upon such laws. KACC currently is subject to a number of claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), and, along with certain other entities, has been named as a potentially responsible party for remedial costs at certain third-party sites listed on the National Priorities List under CERCLA.

Based on the Company's evaluation of these and other environmental matters, the Company has established environmental accruals, primarily related to potential solid waste disposal and soil and groundwater remediation matters. At September 30, 2003, the balance of such accruals was \$82.2 (of which \$43.0 was included in Liabilities subject to compromise - see Note 1). These environmental accruals represent the Company's estimate of costs reasonably expected to be incurred in the ordinary course of business based on presently enacted laws and regulations, currently available facts, existing technology, and the Company's assessment of the likely remediation action to be taken. In the ordinary course, the Company expects that these remediation actions would be taken over the next several years and estimates that annual expenditures to be charged to these environmental accruals will be approximately \$8.0 to \$12.0 in 2003 and 2004, \$1.0 to \$4.0 in 2005 through 2007 and an aggregate of approximately \$57.0 thereafter. Approximately \$20.2 of the adjustments to the environmental liabilities in the third quarter of 2003 (see below) that applied to non-owned property sites has been included in the after 2007 balance because such amounts are expected to be settled solely in connection with the Debtors' plan of reorganization.

The September 30, 2003 accrual balance includes approximately \$23.2 that was provided during the third quarter of 2003. Approximately \$20.2 of the amount provided in the third quarter of 2003 relates to the previously disclosed multi-site settlement agreement with various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities and other parties in respect of KACC's environmental exposure at a number of non-owned sites. Under this agreement, among other things, KACC agreed to claims at such sites totaling \$25.6 (\$20.2 greater than amounts that had previously been accrued for these sites) and, in return, the governmental regulatory authorities have agreed that such claims would be treated as pre-Filing Date unsecured claims (i.e. liabilities subject to compromise). While KACC and the various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities signed the agreement prior to the issuance of the June 30, 2003 financial statements, the agreement gave the regulatory authorities the unilateral right to withdraw their approval until after the conclusion of a public notice and comment period. Further, the agreement was also subject to Court approval which was not obtained until October 2003. Because the agreement was subject to significant modification or termination until the public comment period lapsed and the Court's approval was obtained, KACC did not record the charge associated with the agreement until the third quarter of 2003 as it was not previously believed to be "probable" (which is the criteria for recognition under GAAP). The Company recorded the portion of the \$20.2 accrual that relates to locations with operations (\$15.7) in Other operating charges, net (see Note 10). The remainder of the accrual (\$4.5), which relates to locations that have not operated for a number of years was recorded in Other income (expense) (see Note 10).

During September 2003, the Company also provided additional accruals totaling approximately \$3.0 associated with certain KACC-owned properties with no current operations (recorded in Other income (expense) - see Note 10). These additional accruals resulted primarily from additional cost estimation efforts undertaken by the Company in connection with its reorganization efforts. The additional accruals were recorded as liabilities not subject to compromise as they relate to properties owned by the Company.

As additional facts are developed and definitive remediation plans and necessary regulatory approvals for implementation of remediation are established or alternative technologies are developed, changes in these and other factors may result in actual costs exceeding the current environmental accruals. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that costs associated with these environmental matters may exceed current accruals by amounts that could range, in the aggregate, up to an estimated \$17.0 (a majority of which are estimated to

relate to owned sites that are likely not subject to compromise). As the resolution of these matters is subject to further regulatory review and approval, no specific assurance can be given as to when the factors upon which a substantial portion of this estimate is based can be expected to be resolved. However, the Company is currently working to resolve certain of these matters.

The Company believes that KACC has insurance coverage available to recover certain incurred and future environmental costs and may pursue claims in this regard. However, no amounts have been accrued in the financial statements with respect to such potential recoveries.

Asbestos Contingencies. KACC has been one of many defendants in a number of lawsuits, some of which involve claims of multiple persons, in which the plaintiffs allege that certain of their injuries were caused by, among other things, exposure to asbestos during, and as a result of, their employment or association with KACC or exposure to products containing asbestos produced or sold by KACC. The lawsuits generally relate to products KACC has not sold for more than 20 years. As of the initial Filing Date, approximately 112,000 claims were pending. The lawsuits are currently stayed by the Cases.

Due to the Cases, holders of asbestos claims are stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors. However, during the pendency of the Cases, KACC expects additional asbestos claims will be filed as part of the claims process. A separate creditors' committee representing the interests of the asbestos claimants, the ACC, has been appointed. The Debtors' obligations with respect to present and future asbestos claims will be resolved pursuant to a plan of reorganization.

The Company has accrued a liability for estimated asbestos-related costs for claims filed to date and an estimate of claims to be filed through 2011. At September 30, 2003, the balance of such accrual was \$610.1, all of which was included in Liabilities subject to compromise (see Note 1). The Company's estimate is based on the Company's view, at September 30, 2003, of the current and anticipated number of asbestos-related claims, the timing and amounts of asbestos-related payments, the status of ongoing litigation and settlement initiatives, and the advice of Wharton Levin Ehrmantraut & Klein, P.A., with respect to the current state of the law related to asbestos claims. However, there are inherent uncertainties involved in estimating asbestos-related costs and the Company's actual costs could exceed the Company's estimates due to changes in facts and circumstances after the date of each estimate. Further, while the Company does not presently believe there is a reasonable basis for estimating asbestos-related costs beyond 2011 and, accordingly, no accrual has been recorded for any costs which may be incurred beyond 2011, the Company expects that the plan of reorganization process may require an estimation of KACC's entire asbestos-related liability, which may go beyond 2011, and that such costs could be substantial.

The Company believes that KACC has insurance coverage available to recover a substantial portion of its asbestos-related costs. Although the Company has settled asbestos-related coverage matters with certain of its insurance carriers, other carriers have not yet agreed to settlements and disputes with carriers exist. The timing and amount of future recoveries from these insurance carriers will depend on the pendency of the Cases and on the resolution of any disputes regarding coverage under the applicable insurance policies. The Company believes that substantial recoveries from the insurance carriers are probable and additional amounts may be recoverable in the future if additional claims are added. The Company reached this conclusion after considering its prior insurance-related recoveries in respect of asbestos-related claims, existing insurance policies, and the advice of Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe LLP with respect to applicable insurance coverage law relating to the terms and conditions of those policies. During 2000, KACC filed suit in San Francisco Superior Court against a group of its insurers, which suit was thereafter split into two related actions. Additional insurers were added to the litigation in 2000 and 2002. During October 2001 and June 2003, the court ruled favorably on a number of policy interpretation issues, one of which was affirmed in February 2002 by an intermediate appellate court in response to a petition from the insurers. The rulings did not result in any changes to the Company's estimates of its current or future asbestos-related insurance recoveries. The trial court may hear additional issues from time to time. Given the expected significance of probable future asbestos-related payments, the receipt of timely and appropriate payments from its insurers is critical to a successful plan of reorganization and KACC's long-term liquidity.

The following tables present historical information regarding KACC's asbestos-related balances and cash flows:

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Liability	\$ 610.1	\$ 610.1
Receivable (included in Other assets)(1)	466.2	484.0
	<u>\$ 143.9</u>	<u>\$ 126.1</u>
	=====	=====

- (1) The asbestos-related receivable was determined on the same basis as the asbestos-related cost accrual. However, no assurances can be given that KACC will be able to project similar recovery percentages for future asbestos-related claims or that the amounts related to future asbestos-related claims will not exceed KACC's aggregate insurance coverage. As of September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, \$6.9 and \$24.7, respectively, of the receivable amounts relate to costs paid. The remaining receivable amounts relate to costs that are expected to be paid by KACC in the future.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003	Inception To Date
Payments made, including related legal costs	\$ -	\$ (355.7)
Insurance recoveries	17.8	263.2
	<u>\$ 17.8</u>	<u>\$ (92.5)</u>

During the pendency of the Cases, all asbestos litigation is stayed. As a result, the Company does not expect to make any asbestos payments in the near term. Despite the Cases, the Company continues to pursue insurance collections in respect of asbestos-related amounts paid prior to its Filing Date.

Management continues to monitor claims activity, the status of lawsuits (including settlement initiatives), legislative developments, and costs incurred in order to ascertain whether an adjustment to the existing accruals should be made to the extent that historical experience may differ significantly from the Company's underlying assumptions. Additional asbestos-related claims are likely to be asserted as a part of the Chapter 11 process. Management cannot at this time reasonably predict the ultimate number of such claims or the amount of the associated liability. However, it is likely that such amounts could exceed, perhaps significantly, the liability amounts reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements, which (as previously stated) is only reflective of an estimate of claims through 2011. KACC's obligations in respect of the currently pending and future asbestos-related claims will ultimately be determined (and resolved) as a part of the overall Chapter 11 proceedings. It is anticipated that resolution of these matters could be a lengthy process. Management will continue to periodically reassess its asbestos-related liabilities and estimated insurance recoveries as the Cases proceed. However, absent unanticipated developments such as asbestos-related legislation, material developments in other asbestos-related proceedings or in the Company's or KACC's Chapter 11 proceedings, it is not anticipated that the Company will have sufficient information to reevaluate its asbestos-related obligations and estimated insurance recoveries until much later in the Cases. Any adjustments ultimately deemed to be required as a result of the reevaluation of KACC's asbestos-related liabilities or estimated insurance recoveries could have a material impact on the Company's future financial statements.

KACC has entered into settlement agreements with several of the insurers whose asbestos-related obligations are primarily in respect of future asbestos claims. These settlement agreements were approved by the Court. In accordance with the Court approval, the insurers are to pay certain amounts, pursuant to the terms of an escrow agreement, into a fund (the "Escrow Fund") in which KACC has no interest, but which amounts will be available for the ultimate settlement of KACC's asbestos-related claims. Because the Escrow Fund is under the control of the escrow agent, who will make distributions only pursuant to a Court order, the Escrow Fund is not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2003. In addition, since neither the Company nor KACC received any economic benefit or suffered any economic detriment and have not been relieved of any asbestos-related obligation as a result of the receipt of the escrow funds, neither the asbestos-related receivable or the asbestos-related liability have been adjusted as a result of these transactions. As of September 30, 2003, the insurers had paid \$7.6 into the Escrow Fund. It is possible that settlements with additional insurers will occur. However, no assurance can be given that such settlements will occur.

Labor Matters. In connection with the United Steelworkers of America ("USWA") strike and subsequent lock-out by KACC, which was settled in September 2000, certain allegations of unfair labor practices ("ULPs") were filed with the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") by the USWA. As previously disclosed, KACC has responded to all such allegations and believes that they were without merit. Twenty-two of twenty-four allegations of ULPs previously brought against KACC by the USWA have been dismissed. A trial before an administrative law judge for the two remaining allegations concluded in September 2001. In May 2002, the administrative law judge ruled against KACC in respect of the two remaining ULP allegations and recommended that the NLRB award back wages, plus interest, less any earnings of the workers during the period of the lockout. The administrative law judge's ruling did not contain any specific amount of proposed award and is not self-executing. The USWA has filed a proof of claim for \$240.0 in the Cases in respect of this matter. The NLRB also filed a proof of claim in respect of this matter for \$117.0, including interest of approximately \$18.0. However, the

Company was recently notified that the NLRB had revised its estimate of its claim to \$213.0. Depending on the ultimate amount of any interest due and amount of offsetting employee earnings and other factors, if the USWA ultimately were to prevail it is possible that the amount of the award could exceed \$200.0. It is also possible that the Company may ultimately prevail on appeal and that no loss will occur.

The Company continues to believe that the allegations are without merit and will vigorously defend its position. KACC has appealed the ruling of the administrative law judge to the full NLRB. The general counsel of the NLRB and the USWA have cross-appealed. Any outcome from the NLRB appeal would be subject to additional appeals in a United States Circuit Court of Appeals by the general counsel of the NLRB, the USWA or KACC. This process could take several years. Because the Company believes that it may prevail in the appeals process, the Company has not recognized a charge in response to the adverse ruling. However, it is possible that, if the Company's appeal(s) are not ultimately successful, a charge in respect of this matter may be required in one or more future periods and the amount of such charge(s) could be significant.

This matter is not currently stayed by the Cases. However, as previously stated, seeing this matter to its ultimate outcome could take several years. Further, any amounts ultimately determined by a court to be payable in this matter will be dealt with in the overall context of the Debtors' plan of reorganization and will be subject to compromise. Accordingly, any consideration that may ultimately be required in respect of this matter would only be paid upon or after the Company's emergence from the Cases.

Other Contingencies. The Company or KACC is involved in various other claims, lawsuits, and other proceedings relating to a wide variety of matters related to past or present operations. While uncertainties are inherent in the final outcome of such matters, and it is presently impossible to determine the actual costs that ultimately may be incurred, management currently believes that the resolution of such uncertainties and the incurrence of such costs should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

8. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RELATED HEDGING PROGRAMS

In conducting its business, KACC uses various instruments, including forward contracts and options, to manage the risks arising from fluctuations in aluminum prices, energy prices and exchange rates. KACC enters into hedging transactions from time to time to limit its exposure resulting from (1) its anticipated sales of alumina, primary aluminum, and fabricated aluminum products, net of expected purchase costs for items that fluctuate with aluminum prices, (2) the energy price risk from fluctuating prices for natural gas, fuel oil and diesel oil used in its production process, and (3) foreign currency requirements with respect to its cash commitments with foreign subsidiaries and affiliates. As KACC's hedging activities are generally designed to lock-in a specified price or range of prices, gains or losses on the derivative contracts utilized in the hedging activities generally offset at least a portion of any losses or gains, respectively, on the transactions being hedged.

2003. The following table summarizes KACC's material derivative positions at September 30, 2003.

Commodity	Period	Notional Amount of Contracts	Estimated % of Periods Sales/Purchases Hedged	Carrying/ Market Value
Aluminum (in tons*) - Option contracts	10/03 through 12/03	54,000	96%	\$ 1.9
Energy - Fuel Oil (in barrels per month): Option contracts	10/03 through 12/03	230,000	100%	.2
Natural gas (in mmbtu per day): Option contracts	10/03 through 11/03	50	96%	.3

In October 2003, KACC purchased additional option contracts which cap the price that KACC would have to pay for approximately 25% of its fuel oil requirements for January, February and March 2004 and approximately 25% of its natural gas requirements for December 2003. During October, KACC also purchased option contracts that established a floor for approximately one-fourth of its product sales that are linked to January, February and March 2004 primary aluminum prices.

The Company anticipates that, subject to prevailing economic conditions, it may enter into additional hedging transactions with respect to primary aluminum prices, natural gas and fuel oil prices and foreign currency values to protect the interests of its constituents. However, no assurance can be given as to when or if the Company will enter into such additional hedging activities.

As of September 30, 2003, KACC had sold forward substantially all of the alumina available to it in excess of its projected internal smelting requirements for the balance of 2003 and a vast majority of such alumina in 2004 and 2005 at prices indexed to future prices of primary aluminum.

2002. Because the agreements underlying KACC's hedging positions provided that the counterparties to the hedging contracts could liquidate KACC's hedging positions if KACC filed for reorganization, KACC chose to liquidate these positions in advance of the Filing Date. Proceeds from the liquidation totaled approximately \$42.2. A net gain of \$23.3 associated with these liquidated positions was deferred and is being recognized over the period during which the underlying transactions to which the hedges related are expected to occur. The net gain upon liquidation consisted of: gains of \$30.2 for aluminum contracts and losses of \$5.0 for Australian dollars and \$1.9 for energy contracts. As of September 30, 2003, the remaining unamortized amount was approximately a net loss of \$2.0.

9. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The Company has previously disclosed that, in connection with the development of a plan of reorganization, it conducted a study of the long-term competitive position of the Mead and Tacoma facilities and potential options for these facilities. When the Company received the preliminary results of the study, it analyzed the findings and met with the USWA and other parties prior to making its determination as to the appropriate action(s). The outcome of the study and the Company's ongoing work on developing a plan of reorganization led the Company to conclude that the Tacoma facility, whose aluminum smelting operations had been curtailed since the last half of 2000, could not compete with the much larger, newer and more efficient smelters, generally located outside the United States. As a result, the Company entered into an agreement, which was approved by the Court in January 2003, to sell the Tacoma facility to the Port of Tacoma (the "Port"). Gross proceeds from the sale, before considering approximately \$4.0 of proceeds being held in escrow pending the resolution of certain environmental and other issues, were approximately \$12.1. The Port also agreed to assume the on-site environmental remediation obligations. The sale closed in February 2003. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$9.5. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets ("SFAS No. 144"), the operating results of the Tacoma facility for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 and the gain from the sale of the Tacoma facility have been reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying Statements of Consolidated Income (Loss). The balances and operating results associated with the Tacoma facility were previously included in the Primary Aluminum business segment.

- - - - -

* All references to tons in this report refer to metric tons of 2,204.6 pounds.

10. OTHER OPERATING CHARGES AND OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other Operating (Charges) Benefits, Net. The income (loss) impact associated with other operating (charges) benefits, net for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, was as follows (the business segment to which the item is applicable is indicated):

	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Restructuring charges -				
Primary Aluminum	\$ -	\$ (1.0)	\$ (1.3)	\$ (2.7)
Bauxite & Alumina	-	-	(.1)	(1.9)
Fabricated Products	-	(2.8)	-	(6.7)
Impairment charges -				
Primary Aluminum	-	(3.4)	-	(3.4)
Eliminations - Intersegment profit elimination on Primary				
Aluminum inventory charge	-	1.9	-	1.9
Corporate - Kaiser Center Office Complex (Note 7)	-	(20.0)	-	(20.0)
Restructured transmission service agreement - Primary				
Aluminum (Note 4)	(3.2)	-	(3.2)	-
Environmental multi-site settlement - Corporate (Note 7)	(15.7)	-	(15.7)	-
Gain on sale of equipment, net - Fabricated Products	3.9	-	3.9	-
Product lines exit charge - Fabricated Products	-	-	-	(1.6)
Other	-	-	.8	-
	<u>\$ (15.0)</u>	<u>\$ (25.3)</u>	<u>\$ (15.6)</u>	<u>\$ (34.4)</u>

Restructuring Charges -

Restructuring charges in 2003 consist of employee benefit costs associated with approximately 20 job eliminations (all of which have been eliminated) during the first half of 2003 resulting primarily from the Primary aluminum business

segment's Mead facility's indefinite curtailment (see Note 4). Restructuring charges in 2002 resulted from initiatives designed to increase operating cash flow, generate cash from inventory reduction and improve the Company's financial flexibility. These initiatives resulted in restructuring charges in the third quarter of 2002 of employee benefit and related costs of \$3.8 associated with 140 job eliminations. Restructuring charges for the 2002 year-to-date period consisted of \$9.4 of employee benefit and related costs associated with 200 job eliminations and \$1.9 of third party costs associated with cost reduction initiatives. All of the positions had been eliminated by the end of 2002.

Impairment Charges -

Impairment charges at the Primary aluminum business segment in 2002 included a third quarter charge of \$3.4 to write-down certain alumina inventories at the Company's Northwest smelters to their net realizable values. The charge at Primary Aluminum was partially offset by a \$1.9 benefit in the Eliminations segment representing the elimination of deferred intersegment profit included in the Primary aluminum inventory charge.

Other (Charges) Credits -

In July 2003, with Court approval, the Company sold certain equipment at the Trentwood flat rolled products facility that was no longer required as a part of past product rationalizations. Proceeds from the sale were approximately \$7.0, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$5.0 after considering sale related costs. The gain on the sale of this equipment has been netted against additional impairment charges of approximately \$1.1 associated with equipment to be abandoned or otherwise disposed of primarily as a result of product rationalizations. The equipment that was sold in July 2003 had been impaired to a zero basis in the fourth quarter of 2001. The impairment was based on information available at that time and the expectation that proceeds from the eventual sale of the equipment would be fully offset by sale related costs to be borne by the Company (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information regarding the 2001 Trentwood impairment charge).

The product line exit charge in 2002 relates to a \$1.6 LIFO inventory charge which resulted from the Fabricated products segment's exit from the lid and tab stock and brazing sheet product lines.

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense), other than interest expense, for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, included adjustments to the environmental liabilities of approximately \$7.5. Other income (expense), other than interest expense, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003 also included approximately \$1.7 of adverse foreign currency exchange impacts associated with a foreign tax settlement in the first quarter of 2003. Other income (expense), other than interest expense, in 2002 included a gain of \$4.0 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002 from the sale, in the ordinary course of business, of certain non-operating property. Proceeds from the sale totaled \$4.5.

11. VALCO RELATED MATTERS

The amount of power made available to the Company's 90%-owned Volta Aluminium Company ("Valco") by the Volta River Authority ("VRA") depends in large part on the level of the lake that is the primary source for generating the hydroelectric power used to supply the smelter. The level of the lake is primarily a function of the level of annual rainfall and the alternative (non-Valco) uses of the power generated, as directed by the VRA. The Company has previously disclosed that Valco's power allocation was reduced in January 2003 resulting in the curtailment of two of its three operating potlines.

As previously disclosed, during the first half of 2003, the lake level was at or near a record low level. Based on the level of the lake and the rate at which it had been declining, the Company believed that curtailment of Valco's last remaining operating potline was likely. Accordingly, in light of the previous curtailments ordered by the VRA and the declining lake level, in May 2003, the Company curtailed the last operating potline. The curtailment of the last operating potline was believed to: (1) offer the VRA and the Government of Ghana ("GoG") a contribution toward conservation of the water supply to improve their ability to meet Valco's future power needs as well as meet the near-term needs of the rest of Ghana and (2) provide Valco its best opportunity to restart late in 2003 once the annual rainy season had replenished the lake level and Valco's 2004 power allocation was known. The rainy season has now nearly ended and the lake level is currently expected to crest at a more typical level. However, given the relatively short time to the beginning of 2004 and the status of negotiations with the VRA and GoG, Valco currently does not expect that it will have the opportunity to restart until early to mid-2004. However, no assurances can be given as to when any such restart will actually occur or that, when Valco desires to restart its operations, the status of the negotiation and/or arbitration processes will permit a restart or power usage by the VRA will not cause the lake to once again approach a critical level. Further, any restart would initially have negative cost and liquidity ramifications for Valco as restart costs have typically approximated \$2.5 per line and increased funding by KACC would be required to fund inventory. Accordingly, for Valco to restart one

or more potlines would require appropriate market circumstances as well as a level of certainty that the operating level be maintained for an extended period.

During the first six months of 2003, \$12.8 of employee end-of-service benefits were paid resulting in \$8.1 of charges in 2003 in connection with the 2003 potline curtailments. All charges are included in Cost of products sold. In addition, if a restart of Valco potlines does not occur by early 2004, Valco will become liable for approximately \$2.8 of additional end-of-service benefits. The additional accruals relate to employees currently on layoff status who have the ability to receive (pursuant to contractual terms) end-of-service benefits if they are not returned to work within 12 months of their layoff date. Since Valco cannot predict at this time whether a restart of any potlines in early 2004 is likely, Valco and the Company do not consider the end-of-service benefits to be probable and have, therefore, not provided an accrual in respect of such obligation.

Valco has met with the GoG and the VRA and anticipates such discussions will continue in respect of the current and future power situation and other matters. Valco has objected to the power curtailments and expects to seek appropriate compensation from the GoG and the VRA. In addition, Valco and KACC have filed for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris against both the GoG and the VRA. The arbitration process began in October 2003 and will be conducted periodically throughout most of 2004. However, a decision in this matter is not expected until the latter part of 2004 at the earliest. No assurances can be given as to the ultimate success of the arbitration process or any alternative negotiations. Valco and KACC do not expect the curtailment of the last operating potline to have any adverse impact on the pending arbitrations or negotiations with the VRA and GoG.

12. OPERATING SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company uses a portion of its bauxite, alumina and primary aluminum production for additional processing at its downstream facilities. Transfers between business units are made at estimated market prices. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. Business unit results are evaluated internally by management before any allocation of corporate overhead and without any charge for income taxes or interest expense. See Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

During the second quarter of 2003, the Company elected to change its business segment reporting. Two of the Company's previously reported operating segments, Flat-rolled products and Engineered products, have been designated as one business segment, Fabricated products. The previously reported segments were combined primarily due to a significant integration in the organization and management of the two segments, as well as the similarity of their economic characteristics, products, customers and production and distribution processes. The change in segment reporting is also an outgrowth of the Company's strategic vision as part of its planning for its ultimate emergence from Chapter 11. Financial data for prior periods has been restated to conform to the revised segment reporting.

Financial information by operating segment for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net Sales:				
Bauxite and Alumina:				
Net sales to unaffiliated customers	\$ 129.5	\$ 99.0	\$ 405.0	\$ 327.5
Intersegment sales	4.4	9.2	14.7	41.4
	133.9	108.2	419.7	368.9
Primary Aluminum:				
Net sales to unaffiliated customers	26.7	64.7	101.6	200.0
Intersegment sales	-	.1	-	2.5
	26.7	64.8	101.6	202.5
Fabricated Products	145.4	149.6	443.4	474.0
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	1.8	9.3	5.6	30.8
Minority Interests	23.7	25.4	69.3	72.6
Eliminations	(4.4)	(9.3)	(14.7)	(43.9)
	\$ 327.1	\$ 348.0	\$ 1,024.9	\$ 1,104.9
Operating income (loss):				
Bauxite and Alumina	\$ (25.6)	\$ (15.3)	\$ (67.7)	\$ (30.5)

Primary Aluminum (Note 9)	(8.8)	(5.7)	(36.2)	(12.7)
Fabricated Products	(5.5)	(5.2)	(12.4)	(11.1)
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	(.5)	9.0	2.4	28.1
Eliminations	.4	(1.5)	1.4	1.4
Corporate and Other	(20.0)	(20.6)	(59.0)	(75.8)
Other Operating Charges, Net (Note 10)	(15.0)	(25.3)	(15.6)	(34.4)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ (75.0)	\$ (64.6)	\$ (187.1)	\$ (135.0)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Depreciation and amortization:				
Bauxite and Alumina	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.8	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.4
Primary Aluminum	2.2	5.4	6.6	16.1
Fabricated Products	5.7	6.9	17.5	21.0
Corporate and Other	.2	.5	1.6	1.1
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 18.0	\$ 22.6	\$ 55.4	\$ 67.6
	=====	=====	=====	=====

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section should be read in conjunction with the response to Part I, Item 1, of this Report.

This section contains statements which constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements appear in a number of places in this section (see, for example, "Recent Events and Developments," "Results of Operations," and "Liquidity and Capital Resources"). Such statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "expects," "may," "estimates," "will," "should," "plans" or "anticipates" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. Readers are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve significant risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may vary materially from those in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. These factors include the effectiveness of management's strategies and decisions, general economic and business conditions, developments in technology, new or modified statutory or regulatory requirements, and changing prices and market conditions. This section and Part I, Item 1. "Business - Factors Affecting Future Performance" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, each identify other factors that could cause actual results to vary. No assurance can be given that these are all of the factors that could cause actual results to vary materially from the forward-looking statements.

REORGANIZATION PROCEEDINGS

The Company, its wholly owned subsidiary, Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation ("KACC"), and 24 of KACC's subsidiaries have filed separate voluntary petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court") for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Code"); the Company, KACC and 15 of KACC's subsidiaries (the "Original Debtors") filed in the first quarter of 2002 and nine additional KACC subsidiaries (the "Additional Debtors") filed in the first quarter of 2003. The Original Debtors and Additional Debtors are collectively referred to herein as the "Debtors" and the Chapter 11 proceedings of these entities are collectively referred to herein as the "Cases." For purposes of this Report, the term "Filing Date" shall mean, with respect to any particular Debtor, the date on which such Debtor filed its Case. None of KACC's non-U.S. joint ventures are included in the Cases. The Cases are being jointly administered. The Debtors are managing their businesses in the ordinary course as debtors-in-possession subject to the control and administration of the Court.

Original Debtors. The necessity for filing the Cases by the Original Debtors was attributable to the liquidity and cash flow problems of the Company and its subsidiaries arising in late 2001 and early 2002. The Company was facing significant near-term debt maturities at a time of unusually weak aluminum industry business conditions, depressed aluminum prices and a broad economic slowdown that was further exacerbated by the events of September 11, 2001. In addition, the Company had become increasingly burdened by asbestos litigation and growing legacy obligations for retiree medical and pension costs. The confluence of these factors created the prospect of continuing operating losses and negative cash flows, resulting in lower credit ratings and an inability to access the capital markets. In connection with the filing of the Original Debtors' Cases, the Original Debtors are prohibited from paying pre-Filing Date obligations other than those related to certain joint ventures and in certain other limited circumstances approved by the Court.

Additional Debtors. The Cases filed by the Additional Debtors were commenced, among other reasons, to protect the assets held by these Debtors against possible statutory liens that might arise and be enforced by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") primarily as a result of the Company's failure to meet a \$17.0 million accelerated funding requirement to its salaried employee retirement plan in January 2003. From an operating perspective, the filing of the Cases by the Additional Debtors had no impact on the Company's day-to-day

operations. In contrast to the circumstances of the Original Debtors, the Court authorized the Additional Debtors to continue to make all payments in the normal course of business (including payments of pre-Filing Date amounts) to creditors.

All Debtors. Two creditors' committees, one representing the unsecured creditors (the "UCC") and the other representing the asbestos claimants (the "ACC"), have been appointed as official committees in the Cases and, in accordance with the provisions of the Code, will have the right to be heard on all matters that come before the Court. In August 2003, the Court approved the appointment of a committee of salaried retirees (the "1114 Committee" and, together with the UCC and the ACC, the "Committees") with whom the Debtors are discussing necessary changes, including the modification or termination, of certain retiree benefits (such as medical and insurance) under Section 1114 of the Code. The Debtors expect that the Committees, together with the legal representative for potential future asbestos claimants (the "Futures' Representative") that has been appointed in the Cases, will play important roles in the Cases and the negotiation of the terms of any plan or plans of reorganization. The Debtors are required to bear certain costs and expenses for the Committees and the Futures' Representatives, including those of their counsel and other advisors.

As provided by the Code, the Original Debtors had the exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization for 120 days following the initial Filing Date. The Court has subsequently approved several extensions of the exclusivity period for all Debtors, the most recent of which was set to expire October 31, 2003. A motion to extend the exclusivity period through February 29, 2004, was filed by the Debtors in October 2003. By filing the motion to extend the exclusivity period, the period is automatically extended until the December 15, 2003 Court hearing date. As the Debtors' motion to extend the exclusivity period through February 29, 2004 was agreed to by the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative in advance of the filing, the Debtors expect the motion to be approved by the Court. Additional extensions are likely to be sought. However, no assurance can be given that such future requests will be granted by the Court. If the Debtors fail to file a plan of reorganization during the exclusivity period, or if such plan is not accepted by the requisite numbers of creditors and equity holders entitled to vote on the plan, other parties in interest in the Cases may be permitted to propose their own plan(s) of reorganization for the Debtors.

The Debtors anticipate that substantially all liabilities of the Debtors as of the date of the Filing will be resolved under one or more plans of reorganization to be proposed and voted on in accordance with the provisions of the Code. Although the Debtors intend to file and seek confirmation of such a plan or plans, there can be no assurance as to when the Debtors will file such a plan or plans or as to whether such plan or plans will be confirmed by the Court and consummated. At this time, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the Cases, in general, or the effect any such outcome may have on the businesses of the Debtors.

In working toward a plan of reorganization, the Debtors have been, and continue to be, engaged in discussions with each of their key constituencies, including the Committees, the Futures' Representative, the PBGC, and the appropriate union representatives. The treatment of individual groups of creditors in any such plan of reorganization cannot be determined definitively at this time. The ultimate treatment of and recoveries to individual creditors is dependent on, among other things, the total amount of claims against the Debtors as ultimately determined by the Court, the priority of the applicable claim, the outcome of ongoing discussions with the key constituencies, the amount of value available for distribution in respect of claims and the completion of the plan confirmation process consistent with applicable bankruptcy law.

The Debtors' objective is to achieve the highest possible recoveries for all stakeholders, consistent with the Debtors' abilities to pay, and to continue the operations of their businesses. However, there can be no assurance that the Debtors will be able to attain these objectives or achieve a successful reorganization. While valuation of the Debtors' assets and estimation of pre-Filing Date claims at this stage of the Cases are subject to inherent uncertainties, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that their liabilities will be found to exceed the fair value of their assets. Therefore, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that substantially all pre-Filing Date claims will be settled at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be cancelled without consideration. Further, the Debtors believe that it is likely that: (a) the claims of pre-petition creditors that are given certain priorities by statute or have the benefit of guarantees or other contractual or structural seniority will likely receive substantially greater recoveries than pre-petition creditors that have no such priorities or seniority; and (b) all pending and future asbestos-related personal injury claims are likely to be resolved through the formation, pursuant to a plan of reorganization, of a statutory trust to which all claims would be directed by a channeling injunction that would permanently remove all asbestos liability from the Debtors. The trust would be funded according to statutory requirements, using the Debtors' insurance assets and certain other consideration that has yet to be agreed. No assurances can be provided that the foregoing will ultimately be included in any plan(s) of reorganization the Debtors may file. Further, while the Debtors believe it is possible to successfully reorganize their operations and emerge from Chapter 11

in 2004, their ability to do so is subject to inherent market-related risks as well as successful negotiation and Court approval for the treatment of creditors consistent with the applicable bankruptcy law.

The Company expects that, when the Debtors ultimately file a plan of reorganization, it is likely to reflect the Company's strategic vision for emergence from Chapter 11: (a) a standalone going concern with manageable leverage, improved cost structure and competitive strength; (b) a company positioned to execute its long-standing vision of market leadership and growth in fabricated products specifically with a financial structure that provides financial flexibility, including access to capital markets, for accretive acquisitions; (c) a company that delivers a broad product offering and leadership in service and quality for its customers and distributors; and (d) a company with continued presence in those commodities markets that have the potential to generate significant cash at steady-state metal prices. While the Company intends to continue to pursue a standalone fabricated products company emergence strategy, from time to time the Debtors may also evaluate other reorganization strategies, consistent with the Debtors' responsibility to maximize the recoveries for its stakeholders. The Company's advisors have developed a preliminary timeline that, assuming the current pace of the Cases continues, could allow the Company to emerge from Chapter 11 in mid-2004. However, the Debtors' ability to do so is subject to the confirmation of a plan of reorganization in accordance with the applicable bankruptcy law. Accordingly, no assurances can be given in this regard.

In light of the Company's stated strategy and to further the Debtors' ultimate planned emergence from Chapter 11, the Debtors, with the approval of the Board of Directors and in consultation with the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative, are exploring the possible sale of one or more of their commodities businesses. In particular, the Debtors are currently exploring the possible sale of their interests in and related to: (a) Alumina Partners of Jamaica ("Alpart"); (b) Anglesey Aluminium Limited ("Anglesey"); and (c) KACC's alumina refinery located at Gramercy, Louisiana ("Gramercy") and Kaiser Jamaica Bauxite Company ("KJBC"). The possible sale of the Debtors' interests in respect of Gramercy and KJBC is being explored jointly given their significant integration.

In exploring the sale of the commodities businesses, the Debtors, through their investment advisors, surveyed the potential market and initiated discussions with numerous parties believed to have an interest in such assets. In addition, other parties contacted the Debtors and/or their investment advisors to express an interest in purchasing the assets. The Debtors provided (subject to confidentiality agreements) information regarding the applicable interests to these parties, each of which was asked to submit a non-binding expression of interest regarding the individual assets. After receiving these initial expressions of interest from potential purchasers, the Debtors determined which of the expressions of interest received through the date hereof represented reasonable indications of value ("Qualified Bids"). Potential bidders ("Qualified Bidders") that submitted Qualified Bids were then permitted to conduct due diligence in respect of the assets for which they submitted a Qualified Bid and to submit definitive proposals.

The Debtors are currently reviewing the definitive proposals submitted and, in consultation with the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative, and other key constituencies, will determine whether to pursue further negotiations with Qualified Bidders. Although the Company's Board of Directors has authorized the Debtors to explore the potential divestiture of each of the identified commodities businesses, the Company's Board of Directors has not, as of this time, authorized the sale of any of the interests. Any sale that the Debtors might ultimately choose to pursue would be subject to the approval of the Company's Board of Directors, the lenders under the Debtors' debtor-in-possession financing (the "DIP Facility") and the Court. In addition, the DIP Facility would have to be amended or modified in connection with any such sales. Moreover, in connection with any sales of the interests in Alpart and Anglesey, the Debtors would be required to accommodate certain rights of the other holders in those entities to purchase the Debtors' interests at the price specified at which such interests are proposed to be sold by the Debtors.

While no commodity asset sales are currently imminent, it is possible that one or more sales may occur during the first half of 2004. However, no assurances can be given that acceptable offers will be received for any assets or that any assets will ultimately be sold. Further, the Company's strategic vision is subject to continuing review in consultation with the Company's stakeholders and may also be modified from time to time as the Cases proceed due to such items as changes in the global markets, changes in the economics of the Company's facilities or changing financial circumstances.

Continued sales of non-core assets and facilities that are ultimately determined not to be an important part of the reorganized entity are likely.

Impact of the Cases on Financial Information. In light of the Cases, the accompanying financial information of the Company and related discussions of financial condition and results of operations are based on the assumption that the Company will continue as a "going concern," which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course

of business; however, as a result of the commencement of the Cases, such realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties. Specifically, the financial information for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, contained herein does not present: (a) the realizable value of assets on a liquidation basis or the availability of such assets to satisfy liabilities, (b) the amount which will ultimately be paid to settle liabilities and contingencies that may be allowed in the Cases, or (c) the effect of any changes that may occur in connection with the Debtors' capitalizations or operations resulting from a plan of reorganization. Because of the ongoing nature of the Cases, the discussions and consolidated financial statements contained herein are subject to material uncertainties.

As discussed more fully above, the Company is exploring the possible divestiture of certain of its commodity assets. Specifically, the Company is engaged in separate processes that could result in the divestiture of the Company's interests in Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC. Definitive bids for such assets have recently been received and are currently being evaluated. It is possible that additional bids may be received. It is possible that one or all of the bids could be deemed to be acceptable and that sale transactions in respect of the Company's interests in these assets may be completed during the first half of 2004. It is also possible that the Company's Board of Directors, after appropriate consultation with the Company's advisors and the UCC, the ACC and the Futures' Representative and other key constituencies in the Cases, may determine that a sale of one or more of these assets, at this point, is not in the best interests of creditors and other stakeholders.

For the purpose of preparing the September 30, 2003 financial statements, the Company evaluated the book value of its interests in long-term assets related to these interests on a "hold and use" basis since, among other requirements, none of the Company's Board of Directors, the DIP Facility lenders or the Court have approved any possible sales. The Company believes that, if the assets are retained, undiscounted net cash flows associated with these assets are sufficient to recover the Company's book value of these long-term assets at September 30, 2003, which were as follows:

Anglesey	\$	15.0
Alpart(1)		196.6
Gramercy/KJBC		375.9

	\$	587.5
		=====

(1) Kaiser's 65% share of Alpart's net property, plant and equipment.

The Company cannot currently predict whether the Company's interests in Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC will ultimately be sold as a result of the aforementioned processes. Further, as stated above, the Company has not had sufficient time to evaluate the terms of the various proposals submitted by the possible purchasers. Additionally, it is possible that the terms of any transactions may change by the time that definitive agreements are signed, requisite approvals of the DIP Facility lenders are obtained and the Court approval for such transaction would be sought. Therefore, in evaluating the Debtors' share of the long-term assets and interests related to Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC, the Company did not include a sale scenario in its impairment evaluation. However, the Company believes that if it were to sell these assets, it is likely that (a) a gain would result on the sale of interests in Anglesey, (b) the interest in Alpart would be sold for amounts within a reasonable range of its net book value, and (c) the sale of the Company's interests in Gramercy/KJBC would result in a material non-cash impairment charge.

However, as discussed above, since any sale is subject to a number of approvals (including the Board of Directors, the DIP Facility lenders and the Court) and further negotiations still have to occur, no assurances can be given that any of the foregoing interests or other assets will be sold.

RECENT EVENTS AND DEVELOPMENTS

Liquidity/Negative Cash Flow. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$28.5 million during the first nine months of 2003. The net decrease resulted from cash used by operating activities (\$80.4 million) and financing activities (\$4.0 million) offset by net cash generated from investing activities of \$55.9 million (see Notes 7 and 9 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). The \$80.4 million of cash used by operating activities included receipts and payments that are significant and/or may not be typical. The following table displays the impact of such items on cash used by operating activities (amounts in millions):

Net cash used by operating activities per Statement of Consolidated Cash Flows	\$	(80.4)
Less receipts:		
Asbestos-related insurance receipts in respect of prior year asbestos-related payments		(17.8)
KACC's share of Queensland Alumina Limited ("QAL") net borrowings in second and third		

quarters that reduced Company's cash requirements to fund QAL (11.0)	
Benefit from decreases in receivables and inventories due to Volta Aluminium Company	
Limited ("Valco") potline curtailment in excess of lost earnings	(20.0)
Add payments:	
Foreign income tax payments related to prior years	22.0
End-of-service benefit payments in connection with Valco's potline curtailment	12.8

	\$ (94.4)
	=====

The negative cash flow in 2003 resulted from a combination of adverse market factors in the business segments in which the Company operates including (a) primary aluminum prices that were below long-term averages, (b) weak demand for fabricated metal products in general, but particularly for engineered products, (c) higher than average power, fuel oil and natural gas prices and (d) significant expenditures in respect of retiree medical and reorganization costs.

The net \$94.4 million (as shown above) can be split, in broad terms, as follows (in millions):

Commodities	\$ (19.0)
Fabricated Products	17.0
Corporate and Other	(92.4)

	\$ (94.4)
	=====

Negative cash flow of the Commodities businesses reflects the adverse primary aluminum, energy and operating factors discussed above and in Results of Operations below. The primary components of the negative cash flow are due to high energy prices, weak primary aluminum prices, issues with respect to operating performance at the alumina facilities (see Results of Operations) and ongoing costs at the fully curtailed Mead and Valco facilities.

Fabricated Products reflects a net positive cash flow, albeit minimal, despite the adverse economic environment. This is largely due to cost-cutting initiatives offsetting reduced product prices and shipments.

The Corporate and Other negative cash flow includes all retiree medical payments, which totaled approximately \$45.0 million, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003. For cash flow analysis purposes, these amounts are not allocated back to the business segments. Also the Corporate and Other negative cash flow includes key employee retention program ("KERP") payments of approximately \$5.0 million, approximately \$19.2 million of reorganization disbursements and other disbursements (primarily general and administrative costs).

Cash used in operations during 2002 of \$49.6 million also had a number of significant receipts and payments, and was affected by similar operating conditions and market factors as those experienced in 2003 (see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Significant Items, Liquidity/Negative Cash in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).

Despite the foregoing, the Company's liquidity (cash and cash equivalents plus unused credit availability under the DIP Facility) has remained strong, averaging approximately \$200.0 million during the first nine months of 2003. Also, during August 2003, the Company and the lenders under the DIP Facility completed an amendment to the DIP Facility which, among other things, extended the maturity of the DIP Facility to February 2005 and increased the amount of availability under the DIP Facility by, among other things, restoring the amortizing fixed assets component back to the original \$100.0 million amount as of August 2003 (see Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of the amendment to the DIP Facility). However, no assurances can be given that recent improvements in primary aluminum price and fabricated product demand will be sustained or that the Company's liquidity will not deteriorate for other reasons.

Valco Operating Level. The amount of power made available to Valco by the Volta River Authority ("VRA") depends in large part on the level of the lake that is the primary source for generating the hydroelectric power used to supply the smelter. The level of the lake is primarily a function of the level of annual rainfall and the alternative (non-Valco) uses of the power generated, as directed by the VRA.

During late 2000, Valco, the Government of Ghana ("GoG") and the VRA reached an agreement, subject to Parliamentary approval, that would provide sufficient power for Valco to operate at least three and one-half of its five potlines through 2017. However, Parliamentary approval was not received and, in March 2002, the GoG reduced Valco's power allocation forcing Valco to curtail one of its four operating potlines. Valco's power allocation was further reduced in January 2003 resulting in the curtailment of two additional operating potlines.

As previously disclosed, during the first half of 2003, the lake level was at or near a record low level. Based on the level of the lake and the rate at which it had been declining, the Company believed that curtailment of Valco's last remaining operating potline was likely. Accordingly in light of the previous curtailments ordered by the VRA and the declining lake level, in May 2003, the Company curtailed the last operating potline. The curtailment of the last operating potline was believed to: (1) offer the VRA and the GoG a contribution toward conservation of the water supply to improve their ability to meet Valco's future power needs as well as meet the near-term needs of the rest of Ghana and (2) provide Valco its best opportunity to restart late in 2003 once the annual rainy season had replenished the lake level and Valco's 2004 power allocation was known. The rainy season has now nearly ended and the lake level is currently expected to crest at a more typical level. However, given the relatively short time to the beginning of 2004 and the status of negotiations with the VRA and GoG, Valco currently does not expect that it will have the opportunity to restart until early to mid-2004. However, no assurances can be given as to when any such restart will actually occur or that, when Valco desires to restart its operations, that the status of the negotiation and/or arbitration processes will permit a restart or that power usage by the VRA will not cause the lake to once again approach a critical level. Further, any restart would initially have negative cost and liquidity ramifications for Valco as restart costs have typically approximated \$2.5 million per line and increased funding by KACC would be required to fund inventory. Accordingly, for Valco to restart one or more potlines would require appropriate market circumstances as well as a level of certainty that the operating level could be maintained for an extended period.

During the first six months of 2003, \$12.8 million of employee end-of-service benefits were paid resulting in \$8.1 million of charges in 2003 in connection with the 2003 potline curtailments. All charges are included in Cost of products sold. In addition, if a restart of Valco potlines does not occur by early 2004, Valco will become liable for approximately \$2.8 million of additional end-of-service benefits. The additional accruals relate to employees currently on layoff status who have the ability to receive (pursuant to contractual terms) end-of-service benefits if they are not returned to work within 12 months of their layoff date. Since Valco cannot predict at this time whether a restart of any potlines in early 2004 is likely, Valco and the Company do not consider the end-of-service benefits to be probable and have, therefore, not provided an accrual in respect of such obligation.

Valco has met with the GoG and the VRA and anticipates such discussions will continue in respect of the current and future power situation and other matters. Valco has objected to the power curtailments and expects to seek appropriate compensation from the GoG. In addition, Valco and the Company have filed for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris against both the GoG and the VRA. The arbitration process began in October 2003 and will be conducted periodically throughout most of 2004. However, a decision in this matter is not expected until the latter part of 2004 at the earliest. No assurances can be given as to the ultimate success of the arbitration process or any alternative negotiations. Valco and the Company do not expect the voluntary curtailment of the last operating potline to have any adverse impact on the pending arbitrations or negotiations with the VRA and GoG.

Benefit (Legacy) Cost Matters. The Company has previously disclosed (since the Filing Date) that pension and retiree medical obligations were significant factors that would have to be addressed during the reorganization process.

As previously disclosed, the Company does not currently expect to make any pension contributions in respect to its domestic pension plans during the pendency of the Cases as it believes that virtually all amounts are pre-Filing Date obligations. The Company did not make required accelerated funding payments to its salaried employee retirement plan of \$17.0 million in January 2003, \$83.0 million in April 2003, \$60.5 million in July 2003 or \$64.8 million in October 2003 (such amounts are separate standalone requirements and not additive). As previously disclosed, the Company has met on several occasions with the PBGC, the government agency that guarantees annuity payments from defined pension plans, to discuss alternative solutions to the pension funding issue that would help the Company's emergence from bankruptcy. These options could include extended amortization periods for payments of unfunded liabilities or the potential termination of the plans.

Even though the Company is not making contributions to its domestic pension plans, the Company's 2003 operating results have been adversely impacted by substantially higher pension-related expenses than those experienced in 2002 (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for further information regarding higher pension-related expenses in 2003). Before considering any special pension-related charges that may occur in 2003, pension-related expenses for 2003 are expected to be approximately \$48.0 million, more than \$20.0 million higher than comparable 2002 pension-related expenses. Higher pension-related expenses during the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 adversely impacted the operating results of all business units. Given the positive performance of the capital markets for the year to date period through October 31, 2003, it is possible that the 2004 pension expenses will be less than those recorded in 2003. However, part of such impact may be reduced by the lower interest rates which have prevailed during most of 2003. As 2004 pension

expenses will be impacted by the full year's asset performance and year-end interest rates, a definitive estimate of the impact on 2004 expense is not possible at this time.

In August 2003, the Court approved the appointment of the 1114 Committee with whom the Debtors are discussing necessary changes, to certain salaried retiree medical and insurance benefits under Section 1114 of the Code. Negotiations have begun with the 1114 Committee. The Company's view, as previously disclosed, is that substantial modification or termination of certain retiree benefits, such as medical and life insurance, will be required. Further, given the continuing escalation in medical costs experienced during 2003 (as well as the Company's expectation of future escalation rates, until such time as any modifications are made to retirees' medical plan provisions or such plans are terminated), the Company currently expects that 2004 retiree medical costs and related expenses will increase significantly over 2003 amounts.

Environmental Matters. The September 30, 2003 accrual balance includes approximately \$23.2 million that was provided during the third quarter of 2003. Approximately \$20.2 million of the amount provided in the third quarter of 2003 relates to the previously disclosed multi-site settlement agreement with various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities and other parties in respect of KACC's environmental exposure at a number of non-owned sites. Under this agreement, among other things, KACC agreed to claims at such sites totaling \$25.6 million (\$20.2 million greater than amounts that had previously been accrued for these sites) and, in return, the governmental regulatory authorities have agreed that such claims would be treated as pre-Filing Date unsecured claims (i.e. liabilities subject to compromise). While KACC and the various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities signed the agreement prior to the issuance of the June 30, 2003 financial statements, the agreement gave the regulatory authorities the unilateral right to withdraw their approval until after the conclusion of a public notice and comment period. Further, the agreement was also subject to Court approval which was not obtained until October 2003. Because the agreement was subject to significant modification or termination until the public comment period lapsed and the Court's approval was obtained, KACC did not record the charge associated with the agreement until the third quarter of 2003 as it was not previously believed to be "probable" (which is the criteria for recognition under GAAP). The Company recorded the portion of the \$20.2 million accrual that relates to locations with operations (\$15.7 million) in Other operating charges, net (see Note 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). The remainder of the accrual (\$4.5 million), which relates to locations that have not operated for a number of years was recorded in Other income (expense) (see Note 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements).

During September 2003, the Company also provided additional accruals totaling approximately \$3.0 million associated with certain KACC-owned properties with no current operations (recorded in Other income (expense) - see Note 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). These additional accruals resulted from additional cost estimation efforts undertaken by the Company in connection with its reorganization efforts. The additional accruals were recorded as liabilities not subject to compromise as they relate to properties owned by the Company.

Indefinite Curtailment of Mead Facility. In January 2003, the Company announced the indefinite curtailment of the Mead facility. The curtailment of the facility was due to the continuing unfavorable market dynamics, specifically unattractive long-term power prices and weak primary aluminum prices, both of which are significant impediments for an older smelter with higher-than-average operating costs. The Mead facility is expected to remain completely curtailed unless and until an appropriate combination of reduced power prices, higher primary aluminum prices and other factors occurs. See Note 4 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional discussion of the Mead curtailment.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As an integrated aluminum producer, the Company uses a portion of its bauxite, alumina, and primary aluminum production for additional processing at certain of its downstream facilities. Intersegment transfers are valued at estimated market prices. The following table provides selected operational and financial information on a consolidated basis with respect to the Company for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002. The following data should be read in conjunction with the Company's interim consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere herein. See Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for further information regarding segments.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of those for a full year. Average realized prices for the Company's Fabricated products segment are not presented in the following table as such prices are subject to fluctuations due to changes in product mix.

(In millions of dollars, except shipments and prices)

	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Shipments: (000 tons)				
Alumina				
Third Party	697.0	566.1	2,220.4	1,839.7
Intersegment	26.2	53.8	88.7	240.1
Total Alumina	723.2	619.9	2,309.1	2,079.8
Primary Aluminum				
Third Party	18.5	49.2	72.1	147.2
Intersegment	-	.1	-	1.7
Total Primary Aluminum	18.5	49.3	72.1	148.9
Fabricated Products (Note 12)	41.5	42.1	125.1	132.2
Average Realized Third Party Sales Price:				
Alumina (per ton)	\$ 175	\$ 169	\$ 173	\$ 168
Primary Aluminum (per pound)	\$.65	\$.60	\$.64	\$.62
Net Sales:				
Bauxite and Alumina				
Third Party (includes net sales of bauxite)	\$ 129.5	\$ 99.0	\$ 405.0	\$ 327.5
Intersegment	4.4	9.2	14.7	41.4
Total Bauxite and Alumina	133.9	108.2	419.7	368.9
Primary Aluminum				
Third Party	26.7	64.7	101.6	200.0
Intersegment	-	.1	-	2.5
Total Primary Aluminum	26.7	64.8	101.6	202.5
Fabricated Products (Note 12)	145.4	149.6	443.4	474.0
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	1.8	9.3	5.6	30.8
Minority Interests	23.7	25.4	69.3	72.6
Eliminations	(4.4)	(9.3)	(14.7)	(43.9)
Total Net Sales	\$ 327.1	\$ 348.0	\$ 1,024.9	\$ 1,104.9
Operating Income (Loss):				
Bauxite and Alumina	\$ (25.6)	\$ (15.3)	\$ (67.7)	\$ (30.5)
Primary Aluminum (Note 9)	(8.8)	(5.7)	(36.2)	(12.7)
Fabricated Products (Note 12)	(5.5)	(5.2)	(12.4)	(11.1)
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	(.5)	9.0	2.4	28.1
Eliminations	.4	(1.5)	1.4	1.4
Corporate and Other	(20.0)	(20.6)	(59.0)	(75.8)
Other Operating Charges, Net (Note 10)	(15.0)	(25.3)	(15.6)	(34.4)
Total Operating Loss	\$ (75.0)	\$ (64.6)	\$ (187.1)	\$ (135.0)
Net Loss	\$ (88.6)	\$ (83.4)	\$ (215.1)	\$ (197.9)
Capital Expenditures	\$ 8.1	\$ 9.3	\$ 27.3	\$ 29.2

OVERVIEW

The Company's operating results are sensitive to changes in prices of alumina, primary aluminum, and fabricated aluminum products, and also depend to a significant degree on the volume and mix of all products sold and on KACC's hedging strategies. Primary aluminum prices have historically been subject to significant cyclical price fluctuations. See Notes 2 and 8 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of KACC's hedging activities.

Changes in global, regional, or country-specific economic conditions can have a significant impact on overall demand for aluminum-intensive fabricated products in the transportation, distribution, packaging, and other markets. Such changes in demand can directly affect the Company's earnings by impacting the overall volume and mix of such products sold. To the extent that these end-use markets weaken, demand can also diminish for what the Company sometimes refers to as the "upstream" products: alumina and primary aluminum.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, the average London Metal Exchange transaction price ("LME price") per pound of primary aluminum was \$.61 per pound. During the nine months ended September 30, 2003, the average LME price was \$.64 per pound. The average LME price for primary aluminum for the week ended October 31, 2003 was \$.68 per pound.

QUARTER AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003, COMPARED TO QUARTER AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

SUMMARY

The Company reported a net loss of \$88.6 million, or \$1.11 of basic loss per common share, for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, compared to a net loss of \$83.4 million, or \$1.04 of basic loss per common share, for the third quarter of 2002. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, the Company reported a net loss of \$215.1 million, or \$2.68 of basic loss per common share, compared to a net loss of \$197.9 million, or \$2.45 of basic loss per common share, for the same period in 2002. However, basic loss per common share may not be meaningful, because as a part of a plan of reorganization, it is likely that the equity interests of the Company's existing stockholders will be cancelled without consideration.

Net sales in the third quarter of 2003 totaled \$327.1 million compared to \$348.0 million in the third quarter of 2002. Net sales for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, totaled \$1,024.9 million compared to \$1,104.9 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002.

Bauxite and Alumina. Third party net sales of alumina for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, increased 34% as compared to the same period in 2002, due to a 29% increase in third party shipments and a 4% increase in third party average realized prices. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, third party net sales of alumina were 26% higher than the comparable period in 2002 as the result of a 22% increase in third party shipments and a 3% increase in third party average realized prices. Third party shipments for the quarter and nine-month periods were higher than the comparable periods last year, primarily due to reduced intersegment shipments resulting from Valco's 2003 potline curtailments (see "Recent Events and Developments -- Valco Operating Level" above). The increases in average realized prices during both periods were due to increases in primary aluminum market prices to which the Company's third-party alumina sales contracts are linked.

Intersegment net sales of alumina for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 reflect a shipment of alumina to the Company's 49%-owned affiliate, Anglesey Aluminium Limited ("Anglesey") at the end of September 2003. This shipment typically would have been delivered in the fourth quarter of the year. Intersegment net sales of alumina for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, decreased 52% in both periods as compared to the same periods in 2002. The decreases were primarily the result of 51% decreases in intersegment shipments in both periods due to the 2003 Valco potline curtailment. In the near-term, absent a restart at Valco, the only intersegment shipments expected are to Anglesey, which shipments typically occur in the first and fourth quarters of each year.

Segment operating losses (before Other operating charges, net) for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 were worse than the comparable periods in 2002. The primary reason for the period-to-period decreases in operating income were higher energy costs (\$11.0 million and \$36.0 million during the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2003, respectively). Although cost performance improved quarter to quarter and year to year, the segment's operating results for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 were adversely impacted by separate unrelated short-term power outages at Alpart, the Gramercy facility and the Company's 20%-owned affiliate, Queensland Alumina Limited ("QAL") and KACC's share of non-cash inventory write-downs at QAL totaling approximately \$.8 million. Also, segment operating results for the quarter and nine-month periods of 2003 were adversely impacted by increased pension related expenses and an increase in foreign exchange rates. These impacts were only partially offset by the net increase in shipments and increase in average realized prices discussed above and by improved cost performance. Segment operating loss for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002, discussed above, excluded Other operating charges, net of \$1.9 million incurred in connection with cost reduction initiatives.

Primary Aluminum. Third party net sales of primary aluminum decreased 59% for the third quarter of 2003 as compared to the same period in 2002 due to a 62% decrease in third party shipments offset by an 8% increase in third party average realized prices. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, third party sales of primary aluminum decreased approximately 49% from the comparable period in 2002 due to a 51% decrease in third party shipments offset by a 3% increase in third party average realized prices. The decreases in third party shipments were primarily due to the 2003 Valco potline curtailment discussed above. The increases in the average realized prices were primarily due to increases in primary aluminum market prices.

Segment operating losses (before Other operating charges, net) for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, were worse than the comparable periods in 2002. The primary reason for the decreases was the decreases in net shipments discussed above. Segment operating loss for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, also includes \$8.1 million of charges for end-of-service benefits associated with the 2003 Valco potline curtailments discussed above. The foregoing were only partially offset by increased prices discussed above, lower depreciation expense, resulting from the 2002 year-end impairment of the Mead smelter assets (\$3.2 million for the quarter and \$9.5

million for the nine-month period), and reductions in overhead costs primarily due to the Mead and Valco curtailments.

Segment operating losses for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, discussed above, exclude a pre-Filing Date claim of approximately \$3.2 million related to a restructured transmission service agreement (see Note 4 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). Segment operating loss for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, also excludes restructuring charges of \$1.3 million resulting from the Mead facility indefinite curtailment (see Note 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). Segment operating losses for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2002, excluded a non-cash charge of approximately \$3.4 million related to a write-down of certain alumina inventories and costs of \$1.0 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, incurred in connection with cost reduction initiatives (which are included in Other operating charges, net).

Fabricated Products. Net sales of fabricated products decreased by 3% during the third quarter 2003 as compared to 2002 primarily as a result of a 2% decrease in shipments. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, net sales of fabricated products decreased by approximately 6% as compared to the same period in 2002 primarily as the result of a 5% decrease in shipments. Current period and year-to-date shipments in 2003 were less than the comparable 2002 periods due to the exit of the can lid and tab stock and brazing sheet products in the second quarter of 2002. During 2003, weaker demand for engineered products was offset by a modest improvement in demand for general engineering and aerospace heat-treat products. Prices for fabricated products were also weaker in 2003 than the prior year periods.

Segment operating results for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, were modestly lower than the comparable periods in 2002 primarily due to the volume and price factors discussed above, increased energy costs (approximately \$2.0 million in the quarter and \$8.0 million in the nine-month period) and increased pension related expenses. The foregoing were offset, in part, by reductions in overhead and other operating costs as a result of cost-cutting initiatives.

Segment operating results for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, discussed above, exclude a net gain of approximately \$3.9 million from the sale of equipment (see Note 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). Segment operating results for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2002, excluded Other operating charges, net of \$2.8 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, incurred in connection with cost reduction initiatives. Segment operating results for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002, also excluded a \$1.6 million non-cash LIFO inventory charge.

Commodities Marketing. In 2003, net sales for this segment represents net settlements with unaffiliated counterparties for maturing derivative positions. In 2002, net sales for this segment primarily represented recognition of deferred gains from hedges closed prior to the commencement of the Cases. Gains or losses associated with these liquidated positions were deferred in Other comprehensive income and are being recognized as income and costs over the original hedging periods as the underlying purchases/sales occur.

Segment operating income for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, decreased compared to the comparable periods in 2002 due to the prevailing market prices during 2003 versus the higher prices implicit in the liquidation of the positions in January 2002.

Eliminations. Eliminations of intersegment profit vary from period to period depending on fluctuations in market prices as well as the amount and timing of the affected segments' production and sales. Eliminations for the third quarter of 2002 included a benefit of \$1.9 million of deferred intersegment profit offsetting the \$3.4 million inventory write-down in the Primary Aluminum segment discussed above.

Corporate and Other. Corporate operating expenses represent corporate general and administrative expenses which are not allocated to the Company's business segments. Corporate operating expenses (before Other operating charges, net) for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 were substantially the same as the same period in 2002 as a decrease in overhead expenses from cost reduction initiatives was offset by an increase in pension-related expenses. Corporate operating expenses for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, as compared to the same period in 2002, were lower primarily because corporate expenses in 2002 included special pension settlement charges of approximately \$14.6 million. Corporate expenses in the nine-month period of 2003 also included a decrease in payroll-related expenses resulting from 2002 job eliminations and other overhead expenses which were partially offset by an increase in pension-related expenses. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for a discussion of the special pension settlement charges in 2002.

Corporate operating results for both the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003, discussed above, excluded an environmental multi-site settlement of \$15.7 million (which is included in Other operating charges, net). Corporate operating results for both the quarter and nine-month periods ended

September 30, 2002, excluded a non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$20.0 million related to the Company's non-operating properties (which is included in Other operating charges, net).

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As a result of the filing of the Cases, claims against the Debtors for principal and accrued interest on secured and unsecured indebtedness existing on the Filing Date are stayed while the Debtors continue business operations as debtors-in-possession, subject to the control and supervision of the Court. See Note 1 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of the Cases. At this time, it is not possible to predict the effect of the Cases on the businesses of the Debtors.

Operating Activities. Operating activities used \$80.4 million of cash during the nine months ended September 30, 2003. However, cash used in operations for the nine-month period included several receipts and payments that are significant and/or may not be typical. These receipt and payment items included: (a) receipts of (i) asbestos-related insurance receipts of \$17.8 million in respect of prior year asbestos-related payments, (ii) KACC's share of QAL net borrowings in the second and third quarters of 2003 that reduced the Company's cash requirements by approximately \$11.0 million and (iii) the benefit from decreases in receivables and inventories of approximately \$20.0 million due to Valco's 2003 potline curtailment in excess of lost earnings; and (b) payments of (i) a foreign income tax payment related to prior periods of \$22.0 million and (ii) end of service benefits payments totaling approximately \$12.8 million in connection with the Valco potline curtailments. The net cash used by operating activities resulted from a combination of adverse market factors in the business segments in which the Company operates including: (a) primary aluminum prices that are below long-term averages, (b) weak demand for fabricated metal products in general, but particularly for engineered products, (c) higher than average power, fuel oil and natural gas prices, and (d) significant expenditures in respect of retiree medical and reorganization costs. Cash used by operating activities during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002 (\$42.9 million) primarily reflected: (a) operating results at a near-break even level at the then primary aluminum prices and a continuing weak market for fabricated metal products; (b) approximately \$29.5 million of funding to QAL in respect of QAL's regularly scheduled debt maturities (see Note 8 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements); and (c) foreign income tax payments of \$31.3 million.

Investing Activities. Capital expenditures during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 were \$27.3 million. The 2003 capital expenditures were incurred to improve production efficiency and reduce operating costs at the Company's facilities. Total consolidated capital expenditures are currently expected to be between \$35.0 and \$40.0 million in 2003 and between \$20.0 and \$30.0 million in 2004 (of which approximately 20% and 10% is expected to be funded by the Company's minority partners in certain foreign joint ventures in 2003 and 2004, respectively). The level of capital expenditures may be adjusted from time to time depending on the Company's price outlook for primary aluminum and other products, the Company's ability to assure future cash flows through hedging or other means, the Company's financial position and other factors.

Financing Activities and Liquidity. On February 12, 2002, the Company and KACC entered into the DIP Facility which provides for a secured, revolving line of credit through the earlier of February 13, 2005 (extended from February 12, 2004 in August 2003 as discussed below), the effective date of a plan of reorganization or voluntary termination by the Company. In March 2003, certain of the Additional Debtors were added as co-guarantors and the DIP Facility lenders received super priority status with respect to certain of the Additional Debtors' assets. KACC is able to borrow under the DIP Facility by means of revolving credit advances and to issue letters of credit (up to \$125.0 million) in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of \$285.0 million (reduced from \$300.0 million in August 2003 as discussed below) or a borrowing base relating to eligible accounts receivable, eligible inventory and eligible fixed assets reduced by certain reserves, as defined in the DIP Facility agreement. The DIP Facility is guaranteed by the Company and certain significant subsidiaries of KACC. Interest on any outstanding borrowings will bear a spread over either a base rate or LIBOR, at KACC's option.

The DIP Facility contains a number of provisions that address or could be affected by any asset sales that may result from the possible commodity asset divestiture program (see "Reorganization Proceedings" above), including provisions that: (a) limit asset dispositions by the Debtors; (b) limit releases of collateral securing obligations under the DIP Facility; (c) address the Debtors' use of proceeds from asset dispositions; (d) impact the determination of the borrowing base and the amount of commitments under the DIP Facility; and (e) provide for certain financial provisions. As a result, amendments to the DIP Facility would be necessary for any proposed commodity asset sales. The Debtors will seek the requisite amendments to the DIP Facility as required.

The borrowing base under the DIP Facility could be materially affected by any asset dispositions that are ultimately completed because: (a) the DIP Facility currently provides that the fixed asset component of the borrowing base is reduced by the amount of proceeds received from certain asset dispositions (but not to an amount less than zero); (b) eligible receivables and inventories related to such assets would no longer be included; and (c) it is unclear to

what extent sales proceeds resulting from any asset sales that may be completed would be available to the Company or be available to support the borrowing base.

The Company and KACC currently believe that the cash and cash equivalents of \$43.8 million at October 31, 2003, cash flows from operations, cash proceeds available from the sale of assets that are ultimately determined not to be an important part of the reorganized entity and cash available under the DIP Facility will provide sufficient working capital to allow the Company to meet its obligations during the pendency of the Cases. At October 31, 2003, there were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and there were outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$47.4 million. As of October 31, 2003, \$156.9 million (of which \$77.6 million could be used for additional letters of credit) was available to the Company under the DIP Facility.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

MAXXAM Inc. ("MAXXAM") and one of its wholly owned subsidiaries collectively own approximately 62% of the Company's Common Stock, with the remaining approximately 38% of the Company's Common Stock being publicly held. At this time, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the Cases, in general, or the effect of the Cases on the interests of the stockholders. However, it is likely that MAXXAM's equity interests will be cancelled without consideration as a part of a plan of reorganization.

In accordance with the Code and the DIP Facility, the Company and KACC are not permitted to pay any dividends or purchase any of their common or preference stock.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are those that are both very important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective, and/or complex judgments. Typically, the circumstances that make these judgments difficult, subjective and/or complex have to do with the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. While the Company believes that all aspect of its financial statements should be studied and understood in assessing its current (and expected future) financial condition and results, the Company believes that the accounting policies that warrant additional attention include:

1. The fact that the interim consolidated financial statements as of (and for the quarter and nine-month periods ending) September 30, 2003 have been prepared on a "going concern" basis in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position 90-7, Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code, and do not include possible impacts arising in respect of the Cases. The interim consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Report do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities or the effect on existing stockholders' equity that may result from any plans, arrangements or other actions arising from the Cases, or the possible inability of the Company to continue in existence. Adjustments necessitated by such plans, arrangements or other actions could materially change the interim consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Report. For example,
 - a. If the Company were to decide to sell certain assets not deemed a critical part of a reorganized Kaiser, such asset sales could result in gains or losses (depending on the asset sold) and such gains or losses could be significant. This is because, under generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), assets to be held and used are evaluated for recoverability differently than assets to be sold or disposed of. Assets to be held and used are evaluated based on their expected undiscounted future net cash flows. So long as the Company reasonably expects that such undiscounted future net cash flows for each asset will exceed the recorded value of the asset being evaluated, no impairment is required. However, if possible or probable plans to sell or dispose of an asset or group of assets meet a number of specific criteria, then, under GAAP, such assets should be considered held for sale/disposition and their recoverability should be evaluated, for each asset, based on expected consideration to be received upon disposition. Sales or dispositions at a particular time will be affected by, among other things, the existing industry and general economic circumstances as well as the Company's own circumstances, including whether or not assets will (or must) be sold on an accelerated or more extended timetable. Such circumstances may cause the expected value in a sale or disposition scenario to differ materially from the realizable value over the normal operating life of assets, which would likely be evaluated on long-term industry trends. See "Financial Statement Presentation" in Note 1 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of possible impairment charges as a result of the Company's potential divestitures of its interests in any or all of its commodities businesses.
 - b. Additional pre-Filing Date claims may be identified through the proof of claim reconciliation process and may arise in connection with actions taken by the Debtors in the Cases. For example, while the Debtors

consider rejection of the Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA") contract to be in the Company's best long-term interests, such rejection may increase the amount of pre-Filing Date claims by approximately \$75.0 million based on the BPA's proof of claim filed in connection with the Cases in respect of the contract rejection.

- c. As more fully discussed below, the amount of pre-Filing Date claims ultimately allowed by the Court in respect of contingent claims and benefit obligations may be materially different from the amounts reflected in the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

While valuation of the Company's assets and pre-Filing Date claims at this stage of the Cases is subject to inherent uncertainties, the Company currently believes that it is likely that its liabilities will be found in the Cases to exceed the fair value of its assets. Therefore, the Company currently believes that it is likely that substantially all pre-Filing Date claims will be paid at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be cancelled without consideration.

2. The Company's judgments and estimates with respect to commitments and contingencies; in particular: (a) future asbestos related costs and obligations as well as estimated insurance recoveries, and (b) possible liability in respect of claims of unfair labor practices ("ULPs") which were not resolved as a part of the Company's September 2000 labor settlement.

Valuation of legal and other contingent claims is subject to a great deal of judgment and substantial uncertainty. Under GAAP, companies are required to accrue for contingent matters in their financial statements only if the amount of any potential loss is both "probable" and the amount (or a range) of possible loss is "estimatable." In reaching a determination of the probability of an adverse ruling in respect of a matter, the Company typically consults outside experts. However, any such judgments reached regarding probability are subject to significant uncertainty. The Company may, in fact, obtain an adverse ruling in a matter that it did not consider a "probable" loss and which, therefore, was not accrued for in its financial statements. Further, in estimating the amount of any loss, in many instances a single estimation of the loss may not be possible. Rather, the Company may only be able to estimate a range for possible losses. In such event, GAAP requires that a liability be established for at least the minimum end of the range.

The Company has two potentially material contingent obligations that are subject to significant uncertainty and variability in their outcome: (a) the United Steelworkers of America's ("USWA") ULP claim, and (b) the net obligation in respect of asbestos-related matters. Both of these matters are discussed in Note 8 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and it is important that you read this note.

As more fully discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements, we have not accrued any amount in our September 30, 2003 financial statements in respect of the USWA ULP matter as we do not consider the contingent loss to be "probable." The possible range of loss in this matter is in the \$200.0 million to \$250.0 million range based on the proof of claims filed (and other information provided) by the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") and USWA in connection with the Company's and KACC's reorganization proceedings. This matter is not currently stayed by the Cases. However, as previously stated, seeing this matter to its ultimate outcome could take several years. Further, any amounts ultimately determined by a court to be payable in this matter will be dealt with in the overall context of the Debtors' plan of reorganization and will be subject to compromise. Accordingly, any payments that may ultimately be required in respect of this matter would only be paid upon or after the Company's emergence from the Cases.

Also, as more fully discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements, KACC is one of many defendants in personal injury claims by large number of persons who assert that their injuries were caused by, among other things, exposure to asbestos during their employment or association with KACC or by exposure to products containing asbestos last produced or sold by KACC more than 20 years ago. It is difficult to predict the number of claims that will ultimately be made against KACC or the settlement value of such claims. As of September 30, 2003, KACC had recorded an obligation for approximately \$610.1 million in respect of pending and an estimate of possible future asbestos claims through 2011. The Company did not accrue for amounts past 2011 because the Company believed that significant uncertainty existed in trying to estimate any such amounts. However, it is possible that a different number of claims will be made through 2011 and that the settlement amounts during this period may differ and that this will cause the actual amounts to differ materially from the Company's estimate. Further, the Company expects that, during its reorganization process, an estimate will have to be made in respect of its exposure to asbestos-related claims after 2011 and that such amounts could be substantial. Due to the Cases, holders of

asbestos claims are stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors. However, during the pendency of the Cases, KACC expects additional asbestos claims will be asserted as part of the claims process. A separate creditors' committee representing the interests of the asbestos claimants, the ACC, has been appointed. The Debtors' obligations with respect to present and future asbestos claims will be resolved pursuant to a plan of reorganization.

The Company believes that KACC has insurance coverage in respect of its asbestos-related exposures and that substantial recoveries in this regard are probable. At September 30, 2003, KACC had recorded a receivable for approximately \$466.2 million in respect of expected insurance recoveries related to existing claims and the estimate future claims through 2011. However, the actual amount of insurance recoveries may differ from the amount recorded and the amount of such differences could be material. Further, depending on the amount of asbestos-related claims ultimately determined to exist (including those in the periods after 2011), it is possible that the amount of such claims could exceed the amount of additional insurance recoveries available.

See Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for a more complete discussion of these matters.

3. The Company's judgments and estimates in respect of its employee benefit plans.

Pension and post-retirement medical obligations included in the consolidated balance sheet are based on assumptions that are subject to variation from year-to-year. Such variations can cause the Company's estimate of such obligations to vary significantly. Restructuring actions (such as the indefinite curtailment of the Mead smelter) can also have a significant impact on such amounts.

For pension obligations, the most significant assumptions used in determining the estimated year-end obligation are the assumed discount rate and long-term rate of return ("LTRR") on pension assets. Since recorded pension obligations represent the present value of expected pension payments over the life of the plans, decreases in the discount rate (used to compute the present value of the payments) will cause the estimated obligations to increase. Conversely, an increase in the discount rate will cause the estimated present value of the obligations to decline. The LTRR on pension assets reflects the Company's assumption regarding what the amount of earnings will be on existing plan assets (before considering any future contributions to the plans). Increases in the assumed LTRR will cause the projected value of plan assets available to satisfy pension obligations to increase, yielding a reduced net pension obligation. A reduction in the LTRR reduces the amount of projected net assets available to satisfy pension obligations and, thus, causes the net pension obligation to increase.

For post-retirement obligations, the key assumptions used to estimate the year-end obligations are the discount rate and the assumptions regarding future medical costs increases. The discount rate affects the post-retirement obligations in a similar fashion to that described above for pension obligations. As the assumed rate of increase in medical costs goes up, so does the net projected obligation. Conversely, if the rate of increase is assumed to be smaller, the projected obligation will decline.

See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and Recent Events and Developments, Benefit (Legacy) Cost Matters above for information regarding the Company's pension and post-retirement obligations. Actual results may differ from the assumptions made in computing the estimated September 30, 2003 obligations and such differences may be material.

4. The Company's judgments and estimates in respect to environmental commitments and contingencies.

The Company and KACC are subject to a number of environmental laws and regulations ("environmental laws"), to fines or penalties assessed for alleged breaches of the environmental laws, and to claims and litigation based upon such laws. KACC currently is subject to a number of claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), and, along with certain other entities, has been named as a potentially responsible party for remedial costs at certain third-party sites listed on the National Priorities List under CERCLA.

Based on the Company's evaluation of these and other environmental matters, the Company has established environmental accruals, primarily related to potential solid waste disposal and soil and groundwater remediation matters. These environmental accruals represent the Company's estimate of costs reasonably expected to be incurred on a going concern basis in the ordinary course of business based on presently enacted laws and regulations, currently available facts, existing technology, and the

Company's assessment of the likely remediation action to be taken. However, making estimates of possible environmental remediation costs is subject to inherent uncertainties. As additional facts are developed and definitive remediation plans and necessary regulatory approvals for implementation of remediation are established or alternative technologies are developed, changes in these and other factors may result in actual costs exceeding the current environmental accruals.

An example of how environmental accruals could change is the current situation of KACC's Mead smelter. KACC announced the indefinite curtailment of the Mead smelter in January 2003. The Mead smelter is expected to remain curtailed indefinitely unless and until an appropriate combination of reduced power prices, higher primary aluminum prices and other factors occurs to make a restart commercially feasible. However, at some point in the future, the Company may decide, due to economic conditions, foreign competition or other factors, to dispose of the facility. If, in connection with such hypothetical disposition the Company were required to dismantle, demolish or otherwise permanently close the Mead facility, the demolition and environmental remediation costs could be significant. While proceeds of a disposition might offset such costs, no assurances can be provided that receipts would fully or substantially offset the total costs of the environmental remediation costs.

Another example of how environmental accruals could change is provided by the multi-site agreement discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements. As a means of expediting the reorganization process and to assure treatment of the claims under a plan of reorganization that is favorable to the Debtors and their stakeholders, it may be in the best interests of the stakeholders for the Company to agree to claim amounts in excess of previous accruals, which were based on an ordinary course, going concern basis.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

In a new regulation issued in January 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted amendments to existing rules, which require the Company to provide explanations of its known contractual obligations in a tabular format and its off-balance sheet arrangements in a separately captioned subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") section of the Company's Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Although such items are already fully disclosed in the Company's Commitments and Contingencies notes (see Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002), the principle of the amendments is that the Company should disclose, in a single section, information regarding: (1) its obligations and commitments to make future payments, such as debt and lease agreements, and (2) material off-balance sheet arrangements and their material effects on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, etc. in a tabular format.

The following summarizes the Company's significant contractual obligations at September 30, 2003 (dollars in millions):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments due in			
		Less than 1 Year	2 - 3 Years	4 - 5 Years	More than 5 years
Long-term debt, including capital lease of \$2.5(a)	\$ 43.5	\$ 1.2	\$ 1.2	\$ 22.1	\$ 19.0
Operating leases	31.3	9.2	9.6	6.6	5.9
Total cash contractual obligations	\$ 74.8	\$ 10.4	\$ 10.8	\$ 28.7	\$ 24.9

- (a) See Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for information in respect of long-term debt. Long-term debt obligations exclude debt subject to compromise of approximately \$830.2 million which amounts will be dealt with in connection with a plan of reorganization. See Notes 1 and 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about debt subject to compromise.

The following paragraphs summarize the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements.

KACC owns a 20% interest in QAL, which owns one of the largest and most competitive alumina refineries in the world, located in Queensland, Australia. QAL refines bauxite into alumina, essentially on a cost basis, for the account of its shareholders under long-term tolling contracts. KACC currently sells its share of QAL's production to third parties. The shareholders, including KACC, purchase bauxite from another QAL shareholder under long-term purchase contracts. These tolling and purchase contracts are scheduled to expire in 2008. Under the agreements, KACC is unconditionally obligated to pay its proportional share of debt, operating costs and certain other costs of QAL. KACC's share of the aggregate minimum amount of future principal payments as of September 30,

2003 is \$60.0 million, which will mature in varying amounts from 2005 to 2008. KACC's share of QAL's scheduled debt principal repayment in July 2003 was funded with additional QAL borrowings. KACC's share of payments, including operating costs and certain other expenses under the agreements, has ranged between \$95.0 million and \$103.0 million per year over the past three years.

The Company has agreements to supply alumina to and to purchase aluminum from Anglesey, a 49.0%-owned aluminum smelter in Holyhead, Wales.

As of September 30, 2003, outstanding letters of credit under the DIP Facility were approximately \$49.9 million, substantially all which expire within the next twelve months. The letters of credit relate primarily to environmental, insurance, Alpart-related debt and other activities. Approximately \$15.3 million of the letters of credit are in respect of the Company's 65% share of the \$22.0 million Alpart CARIFA financing (see Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements) which are reflected in the debt maturities table above. As such, that portion of the letters of credit is duplicative of the obligation reflected in the table above. If the Company's interests in Alpart were to be sold (see Note 1 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements), the CARIFA financing would have to be repaid and the Company's letter of credit obligation under the DIP Facility securing the loans would be cancelled.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's operating results are sensitive to changes in the prices of alumina, primary aluminum, and fabricated aluminum products, and also depend to a significant degree upon the volume and mix of all products sold. As discussed more fully in Notes 2 and 8 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements, KACC historically has utilized hedging transactions to lock-in a specified price or range of prices for certain products which it sells or consumes in its production process and to mitigate KACC's exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. However, because the agreements underlying KACC's hedging positions provided that the counterparties to the hedging contracts could liquidate KACC's hedging positions if KACC filed for reorganization, KACC chose to liquidate these positions in advance of the initial Filing Date. KACC has only completed limited hedging activities since the Filing Date (see below). The Company anticipates that, subject to prevailing economic conditions, it may enter into additional hedging transactions with respect to primary aluminum prices, natural gas and fuel oil prices and foreign currency values to protect the interests of its constituents. However, no assurance can be given as to when or if the Company will enter into such additional hedging activities.

SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity information set forth below is before considering any impacts from possible commodity asset divestitures discussed more fully in Note 1 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements. The following are the major impacts on price exposures related to the facilities for which possible divestiture activities are ongoing:

- If the Company were to sell its interests in Anglesey, Alpart and Gramercy/KJBC (as more fully discussed in Note 1 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements), the Company would substantially eliminate its exposure to primary aluminum price changes as sales linked to primary aluminum prices would be largely offset by costs that are expected to fluctuate with primary aluminum prices.
- Approximately 70% of the Company's exposure to natural gas prices relates to Gramercy's alumina refinery.
- The vast majority of the Company's exposure to fuel oil prices relates to Alpart's operations.

Alumina and Primary Aluminum. Alumina and primary aluminum production in excess of internal requirements is sold in domestic and international markets, exposing the Company to commodity price opportunities and risks. KACC's hedging transactions are intended to provide price risk management in respect of the net exposure of earnings resulting from (i) anticipated sales of alumina, primary aluminum and fabricated aluminum products, less (ii) expected purchases of certain items, such as aluminum scrap, rolling ingot, and bauxite, whose prices fluctuate with the price of primary aluminum. On average, before consideration of hedging activities, variations in production and shipment levels, and timing issues related to price changes, the Company estimates that during 2003 each \$.01 increase (decrease) in the market price per price-equivalent pound of primary aluminum increases (decreases) the Company's annual pre-tax earnings by approximately \$5.0 million, based on recent operating levels. The lower 2003 impact (as compared to prior periods) on pre-tax earnings linked to primary aluminum prices is due to the Valco potline curtailments.

As of September 30, 2003, the Company has option contracts covering substantially all of the Company's net hedgable volume for the fourth quarter of 2003 (at a strike price of approximately \$.65 per pound).

Foreign Currency. KACC from time to time in the ordinary course of business

enters into forward exchange contracts to hedge material cash commitments for foreign currencies. KACC's primary foreign exchange exposure is related to KACC's Australian Dollar (A\$) commitments in respect of activities associated with its 20.0%-owned affiliate, QAL. The Company estimates that, before consideration of any hedging activities, a US \$0.01 increase (decrease) in the value of the A\$ results in an approximate \$1.5 million (decrease) increase in the Company's annual pre-tax operating income.

Energy. KACC is exposed to energy price risk from fluctuating prices for natural gas, fuel oil and diesel oil consumed in the production process. The Company estimates that each \$1.00 change in natural gas prices (per mmbtu) impacts the Company's annual pre-tax operating results by approximately \$20.0 million. Further, the Company estimates that each \$1.00 change in fuel oil prices (per barrel) impacts the Company's pre-tax operating results by approximately \$3.0 million.

KACC from time to time in the ordinary course of business enters into hedging transactions with major suppliers of energy and energy related financial instruments. As of September 30, 2003, KACC held option contracts which capped KACC's price for fuel oil to \$25.00 per barrel for substantially all of its fuel oil needs in the fourth quarter of 2003.

As of September 30, 2003, KACC had option contracts which cap the average price KACC would pay for natural gas to approximately \$5.02 per mcf so that, when combined with price limits in the physical gas supply agreement, substantially all of KACC's exposure to increases in natural gas prices during October 2003 and November 2003 was limited.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures was performed as of the end of the period covered by this Report under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. Additionally, no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting have occurred during the Company's most recently completed quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Reference is made to Part I, Item 3. "LEGAL PROCEEDINGS" in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for information concerning material legal proceedings with respect to the Company.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

- *4.1 Sixth Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement, dated August 1, 2003, amending the Post-Petition Credit Agreement dated February 12, 2002, among Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation ("KACC"), Kaiser Aluminum Corporation ("KAC"), certain financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A., as Agent.
- *31.1 Certification of Jack A. Hockema pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *31.2 Certification of John T. La Duc pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.1 Certification of Jack A. Hockema pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.2 Certification of John T. La Duc pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

On August 20, 2003, under Item 5, "Other Events" of Form 8-K, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K reporting that the sixth amendment to the Company's DIP Facility had become effective.

No other Reports on Form 8-K were filed by the Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2003.

- -----

* Filed herewith.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, who have signed this report on behalf of the registrant as the principal financial officer and principal accounting officer of the registrant, respectively.

KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION

By: /s/ John T. La Duc
John T. La Duc
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION

By: /s/ Daniel D. Maddox
Daniel D. Maddox
Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: November 13, 2003

SIXTH AMENDMENT TO
POST-PETITION CREDIT AGREEMENT
AND CONSENT OF GUARANTORS

This SIXTH AMENDMENT TO POST-PETITION CREDIT AGREEMENT AND CONSENT OF GUARANTORS (this "Amendment") is dated as of August 1, 2003 and entered into by and among KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, as debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Parent Guarantor"), KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, as debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Company"), the banks and other financial institutions signatory hereto that are parties as Lenders to the Credit Agreement referred to below (the "Lenders"), BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent (in such capacity, the "Agent") for the Lenders, GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION ("GE Capital") as Documentation Agent, THE CIT GROUP/BUSINESS CREDIT, INC. ("CIT"), as Co-Syndication Agent, and WELLS FARGO FOOTHILL, INC. (fka Foothill Capital Corporation) ("Foothill"), as Co-Syndication Agent (GE Capital, CIT and Foothill, collectively, the "Co-Agents").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Parent Guarantor, the Company, the Lenders, and the Agent have entered into that certain Post-Petition Credit Agreement dated as of February 12, 2002, as amended by that certain First Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement and Post-Petition Pledge and Security Agreement and Consent of Guarantors dated as of March 21, 2002, that certain Second Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantors dated as of March 21, 2002, that certain Third Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement, Second Amendment to Post-Petition Pledge and Security Agreement and Consent of Guarantors dated as of December 19, 2002, that certain Fourth Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantors dated as of March 17, 2003 and that certain Consent and Fifth Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantors dated as of June 6, 2003, and as further modified by that certain Waiver and Consent with Respect to Post-Petition Credit Agreement dated as of October 9, 2002, that certain Second Waiver and Consent With Respect to Post-Petition Credit Agreement dated as of January 13, 2003 and limited waivers dated March 24, 2003 and May 5, 2003 (as so amended and modified, the "Credit Agreement"; capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition shall have the meanings given such terms in the Credit Agreement); and

WHEREAS, the Company has requested that the Lenders agree to amend certain provisions of the Credit Agreement and the Lenders are willing to agree to such amendments on the terms and conditions set forth herein;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual agreements set forth herein, the Parent Guarantor, the Company, the Lenders, and the Agent agree as follows:

1. AMENDMENTS TO CREDIT AGREEMENT. Subject to the conditions and upon the terms set forth in this Amendment, the Credit Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1.1 AMENDMENTS TO SECTION 1.1 (DEFINITIONS).

(a) The following definition of "Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount" means, with respect to any fiscal period, (A) VALCO Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations, plus, to the extent deducted in the determination of VALCO Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations for that fiscal period, interest expenses, Federal, state, local and foreign income taxes, depreciation and amortization minus (B) that portion of the amount represented by clause (A) above attributable to (i) the proportionate direct or indirect ownership of Persons other than the Company and its Subsidiaries of the voting stock of VALCO or (ii) if the economic burden of any of the components of the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount set forth above is borne or to be borne by minority owners of VALCO (other than the Company and its Subsidiaries) in a proportion other than the proportion of their direct or indirect ownership of the voting stock of VALCO, the proportionate share of the economic burden of such amounts borne or to be borne by such minority owners. The Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount for each fiscal period covered by a Compliance Certificate shall be set forth in reasonable detail in such Compliance Certificate.

(b) The definition of "Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations" is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations" means, with respect to any fiscal period of the Company, the Company's consolidated net income

after provision for income taxes for such fiscal period, as determined in accordance with GAAP and reported on the consolidated financial statements for such period, excluding the consolidated impact of any and all of the following included in such consolidated net income (without duplication): (a) gain or loss in an amount greater than \$150,000 arising from the sale of any capital assets; (b) gain arising from any write-up in the book value of any asset; (c) earnings of any Person, substantially all the assets of which have been acquired in any manner, to the extent realized by such other Person prior to the date of acquisition; (d) earnings of any Person (other than a Subsidiary of the Company) in which the Company or any of its Subsidiaries has an ownership interest to the extent that such earnings exceed the sum of (i) the amount received in cash by the Company and its Subsidiaries and (ii) \$3,000,000; (e) earnings of any Person to which assets of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries shall have been sold, transferred or disposed of, or into which the Company or any of its Subsidiaries shall have been merged, or which has been a party with the Company or any of its Subsidiaries to any consolidation or other form of reorganization, prior to the date of such transaction; (f) gain arising from the acquisition of debt or equity securities of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries from cancellation or forgiveness of Indebtedness; (g) gain arising from extraordinary items, as determined in accordance with GAAP, or from any other non-recurring transaction resulting in gain in an amount greater than \$150,000; (h) any gain that arises from the reversal of expenses in respect of power payments to the extent reflected in the Financial Forecast; (i) any non-cash expenses resulting from any writeoff due to the outsourcing of mining activities to the extent reflected in the Financial Forecast; (j) the Mead Charges; (k) non-cash LIFO inventory valuation charges in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$20,000,000; (l) non-cash charges incurred as a result of any Permitted Asset Disposition of the Kaiser Center Assets in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$25,000,000; (m) non-cash pension expenses in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$48,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2003 and \$68,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2004; (n) commencing with Fiscal Year 2003, non-cash special charges relating to pension expenses in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$25,000,000 in any Fiscal Year; (o) non-cash impairment charges relating to fixed assets or Investments in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$300,000,000, except for any such charges which reduce the book value of any Eligible Fixed Asset to an amount less than the OLV In-Place Value of such Eligible Fixed Asset (such exception to apply only to the amount by which the book value of such Eligible Fixed Asset is less than such OLV In-Place Value as a result of such charges); (p) non-cash charges related to the write down of the value of Inventory located outside the United States in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$50,000,000; and (q) the recording of accruals for the following items, but only to the extent that such accruals are non-cash during the term of this Agreement and arise solely out of pre-petition liabilities: (i) in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$80,000,000 in respect of the rejection of the Bonneville Power Administration contract, (ii) in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$250,000,000 in respect of the unfair labor practices claims arising in connection with the United Steelworkers of America strike and subsequent lockout, (iii) in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$200,000,000 in respect of liabilities to the PBGC relating to Pension Plans, (iv) all claims relating to liabilities for asbestos exposure and (v) other pre-petition liabilities in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$50,000,000.

(c) The definition of "Availability Reserve" is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Availability Reserve" means a reserve against availability under the Borrowing Base in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) \$30,000,000 and (ii) ten percent (10%) of the Revolving Commitment Amount, but in any event not less than \$25,000,000.

(d) The definition of "Borrowing Base" is amended to delete clauses (b) and (c) in their entirety and to replace them with the following:

(b) (i) the lesser of (A) \$175,000,000 and (B) 65% of all Eligible Inventory as at such time or (ii) following Agent's receipt of a satisfactory appraisal pursuant to Section 9.1.18, the least of (A) \$175,000,000, (B) 65% of all Eligible Inventory as at such time and (C) 85% of the Net Recovery Percentage (as determined by the most recent Inventory appraisal delivered to the Agent pursuant to Section 9.1.18) of all Eligible Inventory as at such time; provided that, following Agent's receipt of any appraisal pursuant to Section 9.1.18, if no Event of Default or Event of Cash Dominion shall have occurred and be continuing and if Revolving Commitment Availability is greater than \$175,000,000 for each Business Day during a period of three consecutive months, then thereafter the foregoing clause (ii) shall no longer be applicable until Revolving Commitment Availability is less than \$175,000,000 for three consecutive Business Days or an Event of Default has occurred and is continuing;

plus

(c) the lesser of (i) \$100,000,000, reducing each month by \$1,190,476 (an amount equal to \$100,000,000 amortized over a seven year (84 month) straight-line monthly amortization schedule) commencing in October 2003 and (ii) 50% of the OLV In-Place Value of Eligible Fixed Assets (such lesser number, the "PPE Subcomponent"). Subject to the immediately following sentence, the PPE Subcomponent shall be permanently reduced in an amount equal to each PPE Subcomponent Reduction and each Valco PPE Reduction as described below; provided that the PPE Subcomponent shall at no time be less than zero. The combined effect of PPE Subcomponent Reductions and Valco PPE Reductions shall not be additive, i.e., (i) the cumulative amount of PPE Subcomponent Reductions which would be required as of any date as a result of Asset Dispositions occurring on or prior to such date shall be reduced, but to not less than zero, by the cumulative amount of any Valco PPE Reductions occurring on or prior to such date and (ii) if the cumulative amount of Valco PPE Reductions as of any date exceeds the cumulative amount of PPE Subcomponent Reductions as of such date, then no PPE Subcomponent Reduction shall be required in respect of any Asset Disposition occurring on or after such date to the extent of any cumulative Valco PPE Reductions;

(e) The definition of "Eligible Account" is amended to delete clause (c) in its entirety and to replace it with the following:

(c) which represents a sale on a bill-and-hold, guaranteed sale, sale and return, sale on approval, consignment, repurchase or return basis, other than in each case (i) a Product Swap, (ii) an Account that represents the balance of an Account Debtor's minimum annual purchase commitment to the Company, Kaiser Bellwood or KAIL provided that the documents relating to such Account provide that title to the Inventory purchased by such Account Debtor and held by the Company, Kaiser Bellwood or KAIL has passed to the Account Debtor, or (iii) an Account which represents a bill-and-hold sale provided that (x) the documents relating to such Account are in form and substance satisfactory to the Agent and provide an acknowledgment that title to the Inventory purchased by such Account Debtor and held by the Company, Kaiser Bellwood or KAIL has passed to the Account Debtor, (y) the Agent has a perfected Lien on the Account of the Company, Kaiser Bellwood or KAIL, as applicable and (z) the maximum amount of the Accounts that at any time may be included in Eligible Accounts under this clause (iii) shall be \$10,000,000;

(f) The following definition of "Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount" means, with respect to any fiscal period, the forecasted amount of EBITDA attributable to the Debtors' interests in VALCO as reflected in certain schedules provided by the Company to the Lenders prior to the effectiveness of the Sixth Amendment.

(g) The following definition of "Net Recovery Percentage" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"Net Recovery Percentage" means the fraction, expressed as a percentage, (a) the numerator of which is the amount estimated to be recoverable in respect of Eligible Inventory at such time on an orderly liquidation value basis as set forth in the most recent satisfactory appraisal of Eligible Inventory received by the Agent, net of operating expenses, liquidation expenses and commissions and (b) the denominator of which is the aggregate original cost of the Eligible Inventory subject to such appraisal.

(h) The following definition of "PPE Subcomponent Reduction" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"PPE Subcomponent Reduction" means the amount equal to the aggregate of all Net Disposition Proceeds received by the Parent Guarantor, the Company or any Subsidiary from any Asset Dispositions effected after the effective date of the Sixth Amendment, except (w) Asset Dispositions permitted under Sections 9.2.11(a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (i), (x) sales of Accounts owned by the Company, KAIL or Kaiser Bellwood (y) Asset Dispositions by ALPART specifically described in clauses (i) and (ii) of the second proviso contained in the last sentence of Section 9.2.11, provided the fair market value of the assets disposed of pursuant to this clause (y) does not exceed \$2,000,000 in any Fiscal Year and (z) other Asset Dispositions by ALPART not permitted pursuant to the foregoing clause (w) or (y), but solely to the extent that the Net Disposition Proceeds are placed in escrow (and remain in such escrow) on terms satisfactory to the Agent; provided that in the case of any Asset Disposition by a less than wholly owned Subsidiary, only the proportionate amount of Net Disposition Proceeds received in connection therewith which corresponds

to the Company's direct or indirect percentage ownership interest in such Subsidiary shall be included in the PPE Subcomponent Reduction.

(i) The definition of "Permitted QAL Investment Amount" is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Permitted QAL Investment Amount" means an aggregate amount of \$102,000,000 for Fiscal Years 2002 through 2004 (whether or not such amount or any such Fiscal Year was reflected in the Financial Forecast), which may consist of any combination of cash Investments in, and/or Contingent Liabilities incurred in respect of Indebtedness of, QAL by the Company and/or KAAC; provided that all such cash Investments in, or Contingent Liabilities incurred in respect of Indebtedness of, QAL shall be made on a ratable basis with those of the other joint venture participants in QAL, based on the amount of such Persons' ownership interests in QAL.

(j) The following definition of "Sixth Amendment" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"Sixth Amendment" means the Sixth Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantors dated as August 1, 2003 by and among the Parent Guarantor, the Company, the Lenders, the Agent and the Co-Agents.

(k) The definition of "Stated Maturity Date" is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Stated Maturity Date" means February 13, 2005.

(l) The following definition of "VALCO Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"VALCO Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations" means, with respect to any fiscal period, the net income of the Company and its Subsidiaries attributable to the Debtors' interests in VALCO after provision for income taxes for such fiscal period, as determined in accordance with GAAP, excluding the impact of any and all of the following included in such net income (without duplication): (a) gain or loss arising from the sale of any capital assets; (b) gain arising from any write-up in the book value of any asset; (c) earnings of any Person, substantially all the assets of which have been acquired in any manner, to the extent realized by such other Person prior to the date of acquisition; (d) earnings of any Person (other than a Subsidiary of VALCO) in which VALCO or any of its Subsidiaries has an ownership interest to the extent that such earnings exceed the amount received in cash by VALCO; (e) earnings of any Person to which assets of VALCO or any of its Subsidiaries shall have been sold, transferred or disposed of, or into which VALCO or any of its Subsidiaries shall have been merged, or which has been a party with VALCO or any of its Subsidiaries to any consolidation or other form of reorganization, prior to the date of such transaction; (f) gain arising from the acquisition of debt or equity securities of VALCO or any of its Subsidiaries from cancellation or forgiveness of Indebtedness; (g) gain arising from extraordinary items, as determined in accordance with GAAP, or from any other non-recurring transaction; (h) any gain that arises from the reversal of expenses in respect of power payments to the extent reflected in the Financial Forecast; (i) non-cash LIFO inventory valuation charges in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$20,000,000; and (j) non-cash charges related to the writedown of the value of Inventory located outside the United States in aggregate amounts not to exceed \$50,000,000; provided that, in the case of clauses (h), (i) and (j) above, such amounts shall be excluded only to the extent they relate specifically to the Debtors' interests in VALCO and are excluded in any calculation of Adjusted Net Earnings from Operations for the purpose of computing EBITDA.

(m) The following definition of "VALCO PPE Reduction" is added in the proper alphabetical order:

"VALCO PPE Reduction" means the amount, if any, by which the PPE Subcomponent shall be reduced on the last day of each Fiscal Quarter commencing March 31, 2004 in accordance with the following provisions: (A) if VALCO does not produce primary aluminum during such Fiscal Quarter or the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter is zero or negative, the VALCO PPE Reduction for such Fiscal Quarter shall be \$10,000,000; (B) if VALCO produces primary aluminum during such Fiscal Quarter and the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter is positive but less than the Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter, the VALCO PPE Reduction for such Fiscal Quarter shall be the product of \$10,000,000 times the quotient obtained by dividing (I) the amount by which the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter is less than the Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter by (II) such Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount; and (C) if VALCO produces primary aluminum during such Fiscal Quarter and the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter is equal to or

greater than the Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount for such Fiscal Quarter, there will be no VALCO PPE Reduction for such Fiscal Quarter.

1.2 AMENDMENT TO SECTION 2.1.1 (REVOLVING COMMITMENT). Subsection 2.1.1(b) of the Credit Agreement is amended to delete the reference to "\$300,000,000" in clause (x) thereof and to replace it with "\$285,000,000" and to add a new sentence at the end of such Subsection to read as follows: "The amount of each Lender's Revolving Commitment as of the effective date of the Sixth Amendment is as set forth on Schedule A to the Sixth Amendment."

1.3 ADDITION OF SECTION 9.1.18 (INVENTORY APPRAISALS). The following Section 9.1.18 is added to the Credit Agreement:

9.1.18. INVENTORY APPRAISALS. If at any time Revolving Commitment Availability is less than \$75,000,000, the Agent shall engage an appraisal firm to conduct an appraisal of the orderly liquidation value of the Eligible Inventory of the Company, KAI and Kaiser Bellwood, which appraisal shall be from an appraisal firm satisfactory to the Agent and shall be in scope, form and substance satisfactory to the Agent. Thereafter, the Agent shall have the right to require similar appraisals of the orderly liquidation value of such Eligible Inventory at least once in every 180 day period and the Company shall have the right at any time to request the Agent to cause a similar appraisal to be made, which appraisal shall be determinative for purposes of determining the Borrowing Base so long as such appraisal otherwise meets the requirement of this Section; provided, that (a) if an Event of Default or Event of Cash Dominion shall have occurred and be continuing, the Agent may require more frequent appraisals in its sole discretion, but no more than once a month, (b) if no Event of Default or Event of Cash Dominion shall have occurred and be continuing and if the Revolving Commitment Availability is greater than \$75,000,000 for each Business Day during a period of three consecutive months, then thereafter such appraisal shall be required no more frequently than once in every twelve month period until Revolving Credit Availability is less than \$75,000,000 for three consecutive Business Days or is less than \$65,000,000 on any day, and (c) if no Event of Default or Event of Cash Dominion shall have occurred and be continuing and if the Revolving Credit Availability is greater than \$175,000,000 for each Business Day during a period of three consecutive months, then thereafter no further appraisal shall be required until Revolving Credit Availability is less than \$175,000,000 for three consecutive Business Days. The Parent Guarantor and the Company jointly and severally agree to pay the reasonable fees and out-of-pocket expenses of such appraiser, which fees and expenses shall be part of the Obligations and secured by the Collateral.

1.4 AMENDMENT TO SECTION 9.2.4 (MINIMUM EBITDA). Section 9.2.4 of the Credit Agreement is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

(a) The Company and its Subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, shall have a minimum EBITDA of not less than the following amounts, measured as of the last day of each Fiscal Quarter for the periods specified below:

Period	EBITDA
-----	-----
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 6/30/03	\$(135,000,000)
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 9/30/03	\$(114,000,000)
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 12/31/03	\$(92,000,000)
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 3/31/04	\$(45,000,000)
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 6/30/04	\$9,000,000
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 9/30/04	\$54,000,000
4 Fiscal Quarters ending 12/31/04	\$101,000,000

(b) If at any time during any month for a period of three (3) consecutive Business Days (i) the Revolving Credit Outstandings exceed \$125,000,000 or (ii) Revolving Commitment Availability is less than \$75,000,000 (each condition in clause (i) and (ii), a "Trigger Event") then for that month and each month thereafter in which a Trigger Event occurs, the Company and its Subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, shall have a minimum EBITDA of not less than the following amounts, measured as of the last day of each month for the period specified below:

Period	EBITDA
-----	-----
12 months ending 06/30/03	\$(135,000,000)
12 months ending 07/31/03	\$(128,000,000)
12 months ending 08/31/03	\$(121,000,000)
12 months ending 09/30/03	\$(114,000,000)
12 months ending 10/31/03	\$(107,000,000)
12 months ending 11/30/03	\$(100,000,000)
12 months ending 12/31/03	\$(92,000,000)

12 months ending 01/31/04	\$ (77,000,000)
12 months ending 02/29/04	\$ (61,000,000)
12 months ending 03/31/04	\$ (45,000,000)
12 months ending 04/30/04	\$ (27,000,000)
12 months ending 05/31/04	\$ (9,000,000)
12 months ending 06/30/04	\$ 9,000,000
12 months ending 07/31/04	\$ 24,000,000
12 months ending 08/31/04	\$ 39,000,000
12 months ending 09/30/04	\$ 54,000,000
12 months ending 10/31/04	\$ 69,000,000
12 months ending 11/30/04	\$ 85,000,000
12 months ending 12/31/04	\$ 101,000,000
12 months ending 01/31/05	\$ 101,000,000

(c) The minimum EBITDA amount specified in subparagraph (a) for the period of 4 Fiscal Quarters ending September 30, 2003 (or the 12 months then ending in the case of testing under subparagraph (b) above) shall be reduced by the amount by which the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount is less than the Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount for the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending on such date. Thereafter, the minimum EBITDA amount specified for each subsequent period of 4 Fiscal Quarters (or 12 months) shall be reduced by the amount by which the Actual VALCO EBITDA Amount is less than the Forecast VALCO EBITDA Amount for each Fiscal Quarter (or month) included in such period commencing with the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending September 30, 2003. The amount of any reduction in the minimum EBITDA amount made pursuant to this subparagraph (c) will not exceed \$20,000,000 in respect of any Fiscal Quarter (or \$6,666,667 for any month) and \$60,000,000 in the aggregate for all Fiscal Quarters (or all months) ending on or prior to December 31, 2004.

(d) The minimum EBITDA amount specified in subparagraph (a) above for each period of 4 Fiscal Quarters ending on September 30, 2003, December 31, 2003, March 31, 2004 and June 30, 2004 (or any 12 month period ending on the last day of any month commencing with September 2003 and ending with June 2004 in the case of testing under subparagraph (b) above) shall be reduced by an amount up to \$10,000,000 in the aggregate to the extent the average price actually paid by the Debtors for natural gas during the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending on September 30, 2003 and/or the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending on December 31, 2003 exceeds the Forecast Price (as defined below). If such average price for the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending on September 30, 2003 is equal to or higher than \$5.50/mmbtu, then the minimum EBITDA amount for each period of 4 Fiscal Quarters ending on September 30, 2003, December 31, 2003, March 31, 2004 and June 30, 2004 (or any period of 12 months ending on such dates or on the last day of any month included in any such Fiscal Quarter in the case of testing under subparagraph (b) above) shall be reduced by \$10,000,000. If such average price is between the Forecast Price and \$5.50/mmbtu, the amount of the reduction will be the product of \$10,000,000 times a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the amount by which such average price exceeds the Forecast Price and the denominator of which shall be the difference between \$5.50/mmbtu and the Forecast Price. No reduction will be made if the average price is equal to or less than the Forecast Price. In the event the average price actually paid by the Debtors for natural gas during the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending on September 30, 2003 is less than \$5.50/mmbtu, so that the maximum \$10,000,000 reduction in the minimum EBITDA amount is not applied in such Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period), and if the average price so paid by the Debtors during the Fiscal Quarter (or 3-month period) ending on December 31, 2003 exceeds the Forecast Price, then the minimum EBITDA amount for each period of 4 Fiscal Quarters ending on December 31, 2003, March 31, 2004, June 30, 2004, and September 30, 2004 (or any period of 12 months ending on such dates or on the last day of any month included in any such Fiscal Quarter in the case of testing under subparagraph (b) above) shall be further reduced in accordance with the methodology described above by an amount up to the difference between \$10,000,000 and the amount previously so applied. When used herein, the term "Forecast Price" means \$3.50/mmbtu, which is the assumed price for natural gas.

1.5 AMENDMENT TO SECTION 9.2.7 (CAPITAL EXPENDITURES). Section 9.2.7 of the Credit Agreement is amended to (i) add to the chart Fiscal Year 2004, and a Base Amount of \$75,000,000 for such Fiscal Year, (ii) delete the first sentence under the chart and replace it with the following: "Notwithstanding the foregoing, not more than \$49,000,000 in the aggregate of Capital Expenditures in respect of ALPART during Fiscal Years 2002 through 2004 may be financed, directly or funded indirectly, by the Obligors; provided that the foregoing shall not be deemed to limit clause (ii) of Section 9.2.20.", and (iii) add a new sentence at the end of the Section as follows: "The 'Carryover Amount' applicable to Fiscal Year 2004 is equal to (i) the Base Amount applicable to 2003 Fiscal Year minus (ii) the aggregate amount of Adjusted Capital Expenditures which were actually made by the Company and its Subsidiaries during the 2003 Fiscal Year; provided, however, that the Carryover Amount shall not exceed \$10,000,000."

1.6 AMENDMENT TO SECTION 12.1 (WAIVERS, AMENDMENTS). Section 12.1 of the Credit Agreement is amended to delete the word "or" at the end of clause (v), replace the period at the end of clause (vi) with "; or", and to add the following clause (vii):

(vii) modify clause (c) of the definition of "Borrowing Base" in such a manner as to eliminate or reduce the amount of any required reduction in the PPE Subcomponent with respect to any particular Asset Disposition shall be effective without the consent of the Lenders holding at least 86% of the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the Revolving Credit Outstandings or, if no such principal amount is then outstanding, Lenders having at least 86% of the Revolving Commitments.

1.7 AMENDMENT TO SECTION 12.3 (PAYMENT OF COSTS AND EXPENSES). The last paragraph of Section 12.3 of the Credit Agreement is amended to add at the end of such Section "or an appraisal is required by or requested pursuant to Section 9.1.18".

2. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF PARENT GUARANTOR AND THE COMPANY. Each of the Parent Guarantor and the Company represents and warrants to each Lender and the Agent that the following statements are true, correct and complete:

2.1 POWER AND AUTHORITY. Each of the Parent Guarantor, the Company and each other Obligor has all corporate or other organizational power and authority to enter into this Amendment and, as applicable, the Consent of Guarantors attached hereto (the "Consent"), and to carry out the transactions contemplated by, and to perform its obligations under or in respect of, the Credit Agreement, as amended hereby.

2.2 DUE AUTHORIZATION, NON-CONTRAVENTION. The execution, delivery and performance by the applicable Obligor of this Amendment and the Consent and the performance of the obligations of each Obligor under or in respect of the Credit Agreement as amended hereby have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate or other organizational action, and do not (a) contravene such Obligor's Organic Documents, (b) contravene any contractual restriction entered into after the Petition Date where such a contravention has a reasonable possibility of having a Materially Adverse Effect, or contravene any law or governmental regulation or court order binding on or affecting such Obligor, or (c) result in, or require the creation or imposition of, any Lien on any of such Obligor's properties.

2.3 EXECUTION, DELIVERY AND ENFORCEABILITY. This Amendment and the Consent have been duly executed and delivered by each Obligor which is a party thereto and constitute the legal, valid and binding obligations of such Obligor, enforceable in accordance with their terms.

2.4 NO DEFAULT OR EVENT OF DEFAULT. After giving effect to this Amendment, no event has occurred and is continuing or will result from the execution and delivery of this Amendment or the Consent that would constitute a Default or an Event of Default.

2.5 REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES, ETC. All of the conditions set forth in Section 7.4 of the Credit Agreement, giving effect to this Amendment, have been met on and as of the date hereof and as of the effective date of this Amendment.

3. CONDITIONS TO EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS AMENDMENT. This Amendment shall be effective only if and when (a) this Amendment has been signed by, and when counterparts hereof shall have been delivered to the Agent (by hand delivery, mail or telecopy) by, the Parent Guarantor, the Company and all Lenders, and counterparts of the Consent have been delivered to the Agent by the Parent Guarantor and each Subsidiary Guarantor; (b) this Amendment shall have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court in the Chapter 11 Cases, pursuant to an order in form and substance satisfactory to the Agent and its counsel and on notice satisfactory to them, and the Agent shall have received a copy of that order entered by the Bankruptcy Court; (c) the Company has paid to the Agent, for the ratable benefit of the Lenders, an amendment fee equal to .008 times the Revolving Commitment Amount (after giving effect to this Amendment); and (d) the Company has paid to the Agent all fees and expenses due to the Agent under the Loan Documents.

4. EFFECT OF AMENDMENT; RATIFICATION. This Amendment is a Loan Document. From and after the date on which this Amendment becomes effective, all references in the Loan Documents to the Credit Agreement shall mean the Credit Agreement as amended hereby. Except as expressly amended hereby, the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents, including the Liens and superpriority claims granted thereunder, shall remain in full force and effect, and all terms and provisions thereof are hereby ratified and confirmed. Each of the Parent Guarantor and the Company confirms that as amended hereby, each of the Loan Documents is in full force and effect.

5. APPLICABLE LAW. THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATIONS AND ENFORCEMENT OF THIS AMENDMENT AND ANY DISPUTE ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION

WITH THIS AMENDMENT, WHETHER SOUNDING IN CONTRACT, TORT, EQUITY OR OTHERWISE, SHALL BE GOVERNED BY THE INTERNAL LAWS AND DECISIONS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK; PROVIDED THAT THE AGENT AND THE LENDERS SHALL RETAIN ALL RIGHTS ARISING UNDER FEDERAL LAW.

6. COMPLETE AGREEMENT. This Amendment sets forth the complete agreement of the parties in respect of any amendment to any of the provisions of any Loan Document. The execution, delivery and effectiveness of this Amendment do not constitute a waiver of any Default or Event of Default, amend or modify any provision of any Loan Document except as expressly set forth herein or constitute a course of dealing or any other basis for altering the Obligations of any Obligor.

7. CAPTIONS; COUNTERPARTS. The catchlines and captions herein are intended solely for convenience of reference and shall not be used to interpret or construe the provisions hereof. This Amendment may be executed by one or more of the parties to this Amendment on any number of separate counterparts (including by telecopy), all of which taken together shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the undersigned has duly executed this Sixth Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantors as of the date set forth above.

"PARENT GUARANTOR"

KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION

By: /s/ David A. Cheadle
Name: David A. Cheadle
Title: Assistant Treasurer

"THE COMPANY"

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL
CORPORATION

By: /s/ David A. Cheadle
Name: David A. Cheadle
Title: Assistant Treasurer

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,
as the Agent and a Lender

By: /s/ Robert M. Dalton
Name: Robert M. Dalton
Title: Vice President

GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL
CORPORATION, as a Lender

By: /s/ John L. Dale
Name: John L. Dale
Title: Duly Authorized Signatory

WELLS FARGO FOOTHILL, INC.
(fka Foothill Capital Corporation)
as a Lender

By: /s/ Eunnie Kim
Name: Eunnie Kim
Title: Asst. Vice President

THE CIT GROUP/BUSINESS CREDIT, INC.,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Grant Weiss
Name: Grant Weiss
Title: Vice President

MERRILL LYNCH BUSINESS FINANCIAL
SERVICES INC., as a Lender

By: /s/ M. Kovatchis
Name: M. Kovatchis
Title:

PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,
as a Lender

By: /s/ Sandra Sha Kenyon
Name: Sandra Sha Kenyon
Title:

GMAC COMMERCIAL FINANCE, LLC,
as successor by merger to GMAC Business
Credit, LLC

By: /s/ Thomas Brent
Name: Thomas Brent
Title: Vice President

CONSENT OF GUARANTORS

Each of the undersigned is a Guarantor of the Obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement and each other Loan Document and hereby (a) consents to the foregoing Amendment, (b) acknowledges that notwithstanding the execution and delivery of the foregoing Amendment, the obligations of each of the undersigned Guarantors are not impaired or affected and the Parent Guaranty and the Subsidiary Guaranties continue in full force and effect, and (c) ratifies the Parent Guaranty or the Subsidiary Guaranty or Guaranties to which it is a party, as applicable, and each of the Loan Documents to which it is a party and further ratifies the Security Interests (if any) and superpriority claims granted by it to the Agent for its benefit and the benefit of the Secured Parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the undersigned has executed and delivered this CONSENT OF GUARANTORS as of the date first set forth above.

AKRON HOLDING CORPORATION
ALPART JAMAICA INC.
KAISER ALUMINA AUSTRALIA CORPORATION
KAISER BELLWOOD CORPORATION
KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL
INVESTMENT, INC.
KAISER ALUMINUM INTERNATIONAL, INC.
KAISER ALUMINUM PROPERTIES, INC.
KAISER ALUMINUM TECHNICAL
SERVICES, INC.
KAISER FINANCE CORPORATION
KAISER JAMAICA CORPORATION
KAISER MICROMILL HOLDINGS, LLC
KAISER SIERRA MICROMILLS, LLC
KAISER TEXAS SIERRA MICROMILLS, LLC
KAISER TEXAS MICROMILL HOLDINGS, LLC
OXNARD FORGE DIE COMPANY, INC.
KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION
ALWIS LEASING LLC
KAISER BAUXITE COMPANY
KAISER CENTER, INC.
KAISER CENTER PROPERTIES
KAE TRADING, INC.
KAISER EXPORT COMPANY

By: /s/ David A. Cheadle
Name: David A. Cheadle
Title: Assistant Treasurer

SCHEDULE A to SIXTH AMENDMENT TO POST-PETITION CREDIT AGREEMENT AND CONSENT OF GUARANTORS

NAME	REVOLVING COMMITMENT	PERCENTAGE
Bank Of America, N.A.	\$ 55,000,000	19.298%
General Electric Capital Corporation	55,000,000	19.298%
The Cit Group/Business Credit, Inc.,	40,000,000	14.035%
Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. (fka Foothill Capital Corporation)	45,000,000	15.789%

Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services Inc	35,000,000	12.281%
GMAC Commercial Finance LLC	30,000,000	10.526%
PNC Bank, National Association	25,000,000	8.772%
TOTAL	\$285,00,000	100%

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Jack A. Hockema, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Kaiser Aluminum Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) [text omitted in accordance with SEC transition instructions set forth in SEC Release No. 34-47986] for the registrant and we have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) [paragraph omitted in accordance with SEC transition instructions set forth in SEC Release No. 34-47986]
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 13, 2003

/s/ Jack A. Hockema
Jack A. Hockema
Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 302 has been provided to Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and will be retained by Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, John T. La Duc, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Kaiser Aluminum Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) [text omitted in accordance with SEC transition instructions set forth in SEC Release No. 34-47986] for the registrant and we have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) [paragraph omitted in accordance with SEC transition instructions set forth in SEC Release No. 34-47986]
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 13, 2003

/s/ John T. La Duc
John T. La Duc
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 302 has been provided to Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and will be retained by Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

November 13, 2003

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q by Kaiser Aluminum Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), for the quarter ending September 30, 2003 (the "Report"), as filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the undersigned, Jack A. Hockema, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, does hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has executed this certification as of the date first above written.

/S/ Jack A. Hockema
Jack A. Hockema
Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and will be retained by Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

November 13, 2003

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q by Kaiser Aluminum Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), for the quarter ending September 30, 2003 (the "Report"), as filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the undersigned, John T. La Duc, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, does hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has executed this certification as of the date first above written.

/S/ John T. La Duc
John T. La Duc
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and will be retained by Kaiser Aluminum Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.